## Chapter 2

## Occurrence, trends and analysis

## Weight profiles

Prevalence of obesity in developing and developed countries
This overview on the prevalence of obesity is based on adult population surveys in which both weight and height have been measured. The WHO classification of obesity as BMI $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or over (see Chapter 1) is systematically used to allow comparison of different studies. Although extensive data exist on self-reported weight and height, since this information is often requested in questionnaires, they are known to be unreliable, especially in the obese, and thus would give an underestimate of the prevalence of obesity. Most of the data presented are from the last 10-15 years, since the prevalence of obesity has been changing rapidly all over the world and old data without time-trend information are not very useful. The surveys are arranged according to the six WHO Regions, with the exception of the MONICA Project.

The MONICA Project (Monitoring trends and determinants in cardiovascular disease) covered 54 study populations in 26 countries, mainly in Europe. Risk factor surveys were carried out through two or three independent crosssectional surveys, each five years apart, ranging from the early 1980s to the 1990s. These surveys included random samples of at least 200 persons of each gender and for 10 -year age groups for the age range 35-64 years, and optionally 25-34 years. Common standardized methods were applied for data collection and analyses, making these data an invaluable source for comparison between populations.

In this section, the data for each country had to have been drawn from some sort of representative national sample. Table 3 summarizes the prevalence of obesity (BMI $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or more) in all MONICA centres where 10year trend data were available (http://www. ktl.fi/publications/monica). In men, the prevalence of obesity was lowest in China ( $3-4 \%$ ) and highest (at least $20 \%$ ) in Finland, Glasgow (United Kingdom), rural Germany, Strasbourg (France), Kaunas (Lithuania), Warsaw (Poland), the Czech Republic and Stanford (United States). There was an overall increase in the prevalence of obesity in men in most populations. The biggest increases in ten years (by at least 10 percentage points) took place in Glasgow and in Stanford. The only centres where the prevalence of obesity decreased were Ticino (Switzerland) and Moscow (Russian Federation). The overall prevalence of obesity in men in most European populations was 15-25\%. In women, the prevalence of obesity was lowest ( $10 \%$ or lower) in Gothenburg (Sweden), Toulouse (France), Fribourg (Switzerland) and Beijing (China). A high prevalence of obesity (at least $20 \%$ ) was found in 14 centres out of 29 , the highest prevalences being in eastern Europe. There was an overall tendency of increasing prevalence of obesity over ten years also in women, the biggest increases being in Glasgow and in Stanford, while the prevalence of obesity in women decreased in the Russian Federation and Lithuania. The prevalence of obesity varies in women more than in men, from 10 to $35 \%$ within European populations.

Other survey data from European populations are summarized in Table 4. The Health Surveys for England show an extraordinary increase in the prevalence of obesity (Seidell, 2001) (Figure 12). In the late 1980 s, $7 \%$ of men and $12 \%$ of women were obese, while the respective numbers in 1997 were $17 \%$ and $19 \%$. The age ranges in the other studies vary and, thus, the prevalence data are not necessarily comparable. The low prevalence in the Netherlands (Seidell et al., 1995) and Belgium (Moens et al., 1999) are influenced by the inclusion of young people, the prevalence being about $10 \%$. There is an increasing trend in the Netherlands. The EURALIM Project, covering six European countries (Beer-Borst et al., 2000), shows big differences within countries such as Italy, where the prevalence of obesity is $37 \%$ in women in the Latina area and only $19 \%$ in Naples. However, the age ranges differ, which explains at least part of the difference.

In the United States, the prevalence of obesity is increasing rapidly (Table 5). The secular trends are based on national representative surveys: NHES I (1960-62), NHANES I (1971-74), NHANES II (1976-78) and NHANES III (1988-94). There are also racial differences in the prevalence of obesity (Flegal et al., 1998). In the early 1990s, $20 \%$ of non-Hispanic white men, $21 \%$ of non-Hispanic black men and $23 \%$ of Mexican-American men were obese. The respective numbers for women were $22 \%, 37 \%$ and $34 \%$. Of particular public health concern is the high prevalence (over $40 \%$ ) of class II obesity (BMI 40 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or more) among non-Hispanic

| T1ens dy <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Country | Centre | Men |  | Women |  |
|  |  |  | 1980 s | 1990 s | 1980s | 1990s |
| Northern Europe | Denmark | Glostrup | 11 | 13 | 10 | 12 |
|  | Finland | Kuopio Province | 18 | 24 | 19 | 26 |
|  | Finland | North Karelia | 17 | 23 | 24 | 24 |
|  | Finland | Turku-Loimaa | $19$ | $22$ | $17$ | 19 |
|  | Iceland | Iceland | 11 | 16 | 11 | 18 |
|  | Sweden | Gothenburg | 7 | 13 | 9 | 10 |
|  | Sweden | Northern Sweden | 11 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Western Europe | United Kingdom | Glasgow | 11 | 23 | 16 | 23 |
|  | United Kingdom | Belfast | 11 | 14 | 14 | 16 |
|  | Germany | Bremen | 14 | 16 | 18 | 19 |
|  | Germany | Augsburg | 18 | 17 | 15 | 21 |
|  | Germany | Augsburg, rural | 20 | 24 | 22 | 23 |
|  | Belgium | Ghent | 11 | 13 | 15 | 16 |
|  | France | Lille | 14 | 17 | 19 | 22 |
|  | France | Toulouse | 9 | 13 | 11 | 10 |
|  | France | Strasbourg | 22 | 22 | 23 | 19 |
|  | Switzerland | Ticino | 20 | 13 | 15 | 16 |
|  | Switzerland | Vaud-Fribourg | 13 | 17 | 13 | 10 |
| Eastern Europe | Russian Federation | Novosibirsk | 14 | 17 | 44 | 35 |
|  | Russian Federation | Moscow | 13 | 8 | 33 | 22 |
|  | Lithuania | Kaunas | 22 | 20 | 45 | 32 |
|  | Poland | Warsaw | 18 | 22 | 26 | 29 |
|  | Poland | Tarnobrzeg V. | 13 | 15 | 32 | 36 |
|  | Czech Republic | Czech Republic | 21 | 23 | 32 | 30 |
| Southern Europe |  |  | $9$ | 16 | 24 | 25 |
|  | Italy | Area Brianza | 11 | 14 | 15 | 18 |
|  | Italy | Friuli | 16 | 17 | 19 | 19 |
| North America | United States | Stanford | 10 | 20 | 14 | 23 |
| Asia | China | Beijing | 3 | 4 | 10 | 8 |

Source: www.ktl.fi/publications/monica
black women in the middle-aged groups.

In Canada, the prevalence of obesity is lower than in the United States, being about 15\% in 1991 (Reeder et al., 1992). An increasing trend, however, may have occurred from the late 1970s to the early 1990s, especially in men (Table 5).

Data from Brazil are also based on
nationally representative nutrition surveys showing that increases in adult obesity have been occurring in both men and women. The most recent data show that about $7 \%$ of men and $13 \%$ of women are obese (Monteiro et al., 2000). The prevalence is high in the Caribbean, especially in women in Barbados, Cuba, Jamaica and St Lucia (Forrester et al.,
1996). However, since these data were not reported using the cut-off value of BMI $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, the numbers are not comparable with those from other countries. In the Dutch Caribbean Island of Curaçao, $36 \%$ of women older than 18 years are obese (Grol et al., 1997).

Obesity is still uncommon ( $1-3 \%$ ) in Japan and China, but slightly more

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | Period | Age (yrs) | Men | Women | Reference |
| United Kindom, national surveys | 1987/89 | $16+$ | 7 | 12 | Seidell, 2001 |
|  | 1993 |  | 13 | 16 |  |
|  | 1994 |  | 14 | 17 |  |
|  | 1995 |  | 15 | 17 |  |
|  | 1996 |  | 16 | 18 |  |
|  | 1997 |  | 17 | 19 |  |
| Belgium (Flanders and Brussels) | 1994 | 18-64 | 11 | 9 | Moens et al., 1999 |
| The Netherlands, national surveys | 1987-91 | 20-59 | 7 | 9 | Seidell et al., 1995 |
|  | $1993$ |  | 8 | 10 |  |
|  | 1994 |  | 10 | 11 |  |
| Sweden | 1963 | $>50$ | 6 | - | Rosengren et al., 2000 |
|  | 1994 | > 50 | 11 | - |  |
| Euralim study |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Netherlands | 1990-92 | 20-59 | 12 | 14 | Beer-Borst et al., 2000 |
| France | 1995-96 | 35-65 | 8 | 7 | Beer-Borst el al., 2000 |
| Italy (Naples) | 1993-96 | 30-69 | - | 19 |  |
| Italy (Latina) | 1993-96 | 20-84 | 20 | 37 |  |
| Switzerland (Geneva) | 1993-96 | 29-83 | 11 | 9 |  |
| UK (Belfast) | 1991-92 | 25-65 | 15 | 16 |  |
| Spain (Catalonia) | 1992 | 25-75 | 11 | 22 |  |



Figure 12 Time trends in the prevalence (\%) of obesity in the United Kingdom (UK) and the Netherlands (NL)
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | Period | Age (yrs) | Men | Women | Reference |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |
| NHES 1 | 1960-62 | 20-74 | 10 | 15 | Flegal et al., 1998 |
| NHANES I | 1971-74 |  | 12 | 16 |  |
| NHANES II | 1976-78 |  | 12 | 17 |  |
| NHANES III | 1988-94 | 20-74 | 20 | 25 |  |
| - non-hispanic white | 1976-78 |  | 12 | 15 |  |
|  | 1988-94 |  | 20 | 22 |  |
| - non-hispanic black | 1976-78 | 20-74 | 15 | 30 |  |
|  | 1988-94 |  | 21 | 37 |  |
| - Mexican-American | 1976-78 | 20-74 | 15 | 25 |  |
|  | 1988-94 |  | 23 | 34 |  |
| Canada | 1978 | 20-70 | 6.8 | 9.6 | WHO Consultation on Obesity, 1998 |
|  | 1981 | 20-70 | 8.5 | 9.3 |  |
|  | 1988 | 20-70 | 9.0 | 9.2 |  |
| National survey | 1986-92 | 18-74 | 13 | 14 | Reeder et al., 1992 |
|  | 1991 | 18-74 | 15 | 15 |  |
| Brazil, national surveys | 1975 | 25-64 | 2.4 | 7.0 | Monteiro et al., 2000 |
|  | 1989 |  | 4.7 | 12.0 |  |
|  | 1997 |  | 6.9 | 12.5 |  |
| Curaçao | 1993-94 | $>18$ | 19 | 36 | Grol et al., 1997 |

frequent in Thailand and Malaysia (4-8\%) (Table 6). The MONICA Project showed an increase in prevalence of obesity among urban people in Beijing over ten years (www.ktl.fi/publications/monica). Nationwide nutrition surveys have shown the same phenomenon, but since the data-sets are not agestandardized and rarely use the WHO classification, the numbers are again non-comparable (WHO Consultation on Obesity, 1998). Similar trends can be seen in India, where obesity is increasing among urban middle-class people (Dhurandhar \& Kulkarni, 1992).

The prevalence of obesity is about $10-15 \%$ in Australia and New Zealand. Among different ethnic groups in Australia, the prevalence is lowest ( $1-2 \%$ ) in the Chinese (Hsu-Hage \& Wahlqvist, 1993) and highest ( $25 \%$ in men and $38 \%$ in women) in the Aboriginals in the south-east (Guest
et al., 1993). The differences in prevalence between Aboriginal groups living in different areas apparently reflect different degrees of westernization.

The prevalence of obesity is very high in Polynesian populations. About $50-60 \%$ of men and up to $77 \%$ of women are obese (Hodge et al., 1995). However, Polynesians have a higher ratio of lean mass to fat mass than Europeans (see Chapter 1) and so the prevalence of obesity is somewhat lower than that estimated using the BMI criteria developed for Caucasians (Swinburn et al., 1999). There is an urban-rural difference, with a higher prevalence of obesity in urban areas, as well as a pronounced increasing trend. The influence of urbanization is clearly seen among the Papua New Guineans: the prevalence of obesity is only about $5 \%$ among those still living in the highlands but $36 \%$
in men and $54 \%$ in women living in urban areas.

Obesity is a severe problem in the Eastern Mediterranean Region (Table 7). Although most of the data are based on small studies except for the national surveys in Saudi Arabia (Al-Nuaim et al., 1996), they show that the prevalence of obesity increases rapidly in women as they enter the childbearing age. Over $40 \%$ of adult women are obese. In contrast, obesity is not common in Iran, the prevalence being only $2.5 \%$ in men and $8 \%$ in women (Pishdad, 1996).

Obesity is still uncommon in most African countries (Table 8). However, in countries in transition such as Mauritius, the prevalence is increasing rapidly with increasing urbanization (Hodge et al., 1996). Among the different ethnic groups, the Creole have the highest prevalence ( $8 \%$ in men and $21 \%$ in women) and the Chinese the


| Population | Period | Age (yrs) | Men | Women | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Japan, national surveys | $\begin{aligned} & 1976 \\ & 1982 \\ & 1987 \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $20+$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 0.9 \\ & 1.3 \\ & 1.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 2.6 \\ & 2.8 \\ & 2.6 \end{aligned}$ | WHO Consultation on Obesity, 1998 |
| Japan, national surveys | 1990-94 | 35-64 | 1.9 | 2.9 | Asia-Pacific Perspective, 2000 |
| China, Beijing |  | 35-64 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | www.ktl.fi/publications/monica |
| China, national survey <br> Urban <br> Rural | 1992 | 20-45 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | Asia-Pacific Perspective, 2000 |
| Thailand, national survey | 1991 | 20+ | 4.0 | 5.6 | Asia-Pacific Perspective, 2000 |
| Malaysia <br> Urban <br> Rural <br> Indian <br> Chinese |  | 18-60 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \\ & 5.6 \\ & 1.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.9 \\ 8.8 \\ 2.6 \\ 17.1 \\ 4.3 \end{array}$ | Ismail et al., 1995 |
| New Zealand, national survey | 1989 | 18-64 | 10 | 12 | Ball et al., 1993 |
| Australia |  |  |  |  |  |
| National surveys | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & 1983 \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | 25-64 | $\begin{array}{r} 9.3 \\ 9.1 \\ 11.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.0 \\ 10.5 \\ 13.2 \end{array}$ | Bennett \& Magnus, 1994 |
| Australians <br> Melbourne Chinese | $\begin{aligned} & 1990 \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-64 \\ & 25-69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 0.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 2.3 \end{aligned}$ | Hsu-Hage \& Wahlqvist, 1993 |
| Newcastle Perth | $\begin{aligned} & 1986 \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-65 \\ & 35-65 \end{aligned}$ | 15 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | Molarius et al., 1997 |
| South-east <br> Aboriginals Europids |  | 25-64 | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | Guest et al., 1993 |
| Non-aboriginal Central aboriginal West Kimberiey aborig. Yologu | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & 1985 \\ & 1986 \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ |  | 6 22 - 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 51 \\ 17 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | Jones \& White, 1994 |
| Philippines |  | $20+$ | 1.7 | 3.4 | Asia-Pacific Perspective, 2000 |
| Nauru | 1987 | 25-69 | 65 | 70 | Hodge et al., 1995 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | Period | Age (yrs) | Men | Women | Reference |
| Samoa, urban | 1978 | 25-69 | 39 | 59 | Hodge et al., 1995 |
|  | 1991 | 25-69 | 58 | 77 |  |
| Samoa, rural | 1978 |  | 18 | 37 |  |
|  | 1991 |  | 42 | 59 |  |
| Papua New Guinea <br> Urban coastal <br> Rural coastal Highlands | 1991 | 25-69 |  |  | Hodge et al., 1995 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 54 |  |
|  |  |  | 24 | 19 |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Rodrigues, creoles | 1992 | 25-69 | 10 | 31 | Hodge \& Zimmet, 1994 |
| India, Bombay, middle class | 1991 | 15-30 | 0.3 | 2.5 | Dhurandhar \& Kulkarni, 1992 |
|  |  | $31-50$ | 6.5 | 9.6 |  |
|  |  | $50+$ | 8.1 | 9.8 |  |

lowest (only $2 \%$ in men and $6 \%$ in women) (Hodge \& Zimmet, 1994).

Age, sex, social class and education A recent analysis of data from the MONICA Project shows that socioeconomic inequalities in health consequences associated with obesity may be widening in many countries (Molarius et al., 2000). Among women, there was a statistically significant inverse association between educational level and BMI in almost all 26 populations. The difference between the highest and the lowest educational tertiles ranged from -3.1 to $0.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in the initial survey and from -3.3 to 0.6 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in the final survey. In men, the difference in BMI between the educational tertiles ranged from -1.2 to $2.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and from -1.5 to $1.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in the two surveys, respectively. In about two thirds of the populations, the differences in BMI between the educational groups increased over the 10 -year period. There was no geographical pattern in women. In men, the association between educational level and BMI was positive in some eastern and central European populations and in Beijing, China. BMI was positively associated with education in populations with a low prevalence of
obesity and negatively in affluent populations with high prevalence of obesity.

A strong educational gradient has been found in almost all western populations besides the MONICA centres. The prevalence of obesity is higher among those with low education compared with the highly educated groups, especially among women. In many countries (e.g., Denmark, Sweden), the prevalence is not increasing only in women with high education, whereas among men it is increasing in all educational groups (Peltonen et al., 1998; Stam-Moraga et al., 1998; Moens et al., 1999; Heitmann, 2000; Lahti-Koski et al., 2000). The same phenomenon is seen in Brazil, where a very recent trend is a decrease in the prevalence of obesity in urban women (Monteiro et al., 2000). Highly educated women are more resistant to gradual weight gain with ageing than are other population groups.

Although surveys with self-reported height and weight are not generally included in this review, a recent survey among 15239 individuals aged 15 years and over in the European Union is worth quoting since it has information on determinants of obesity (Martinez et al., 1999a). The results concerning over-
weight (BMI 25-29.9 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) are also quoted because self-reporting of weight and height leads to underestimation of BMI. Subject selection was quota-controlled to make the sample nationally representative following a multi-stage stratified cluster sampling. Self-reporting and inclusion of persons from age 15 years explain the lower prevalence rates, which were highest in the United Kingdom (12\%) and lowest in France, Italy, Sweden and Switzerland (about $7 \%$ ). Individuals of higher social class and younger age in all groups had a lower risk for obesity. People with a higher level of education also had lower risk, and the interaction between educational levels and obesity was weaker for men than women.

Among males, the highest prevalence of overweight was found in those aged 45-54 years who had primary school education and those aged 65+ years who had tertiary education. For all educational levels, obesity was more prevalent among the older age groups, particularly among those with a low level of education. A strong inverse association between levels of obesity and education was apparent, with $55-64$-year-old pri-mary-educated women having four times

the level of obesity of those in the same age group with teriary education.

Risk of obesity rose steeply with increasing age, especially for the lowest social class, up to 45-64 years and declined among those over 65 years for all social classes. The highest risk of obesity was observed in 45-64-yearolds of low social class.

Survey data from Jerusalem show that in women the prevalence of obesity was lowest in the more educated and lower in those born in Europe or America than among those born in Israel (Gofin et al., 1996).

Martorell et al. (2000a) have summarized the prevalence of overweight and obesity in women from population surveys carried out in 32 developing countries in the 1990s (Table 9, Figures 13 and 14). All the surveys were cross-sectional surveys of nationally representative samples (sample sizes from 773 to 10747 women). Most were demographic health surveys in which women of child-bearing age (15-49 years) were interviewed and measured using standard survey instruments. These data are available through the internet (http://www. macroint. com.dhs/). South Asian women were the leanest, with about $97.7 \%$ having a BMI less than $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and only $0.1 \%$ a BMI of 30 or over. The percentages of obese women were $2.5 \%$ in sub-Saharan Africa, $9.6 \%$ in Latin America and the Caribbean, $15.4 \%$ in the Central Eastern Europe/Commonwealth of Independent States (CEE/CIS) region and $17.2 \%$ in the Middle East and North Africa. In very poor countries, mostly in sub-Saharan Africa, obesity is concentrated among urban and highly educated women. In more developed countries, such as those in Latin America and the CEE/CIS region, levels of obesity are more equally distributed across subgroups in each country.

For comparison, the authors also analysed the prevalence of obesity in
different educational groups from the United States NHANES III survey (Martorell et al., 2000a). Women were divided into four groups depending on the number of years of education completed: middle school or less ( $0-9 \mathrm{y}$ ), high school (10-12 y), university (13-16 y) and graduate work (>16 y). The prevalence of obesity in these groups was $20.1 \%, 24.1 \%, 18.9 \%$ and $9.2 \%$, respectively.

Overweight and underweight people may co-exist in countries in transition, with underweight children living in families with overweight adults (Doak et al., 2000). Data from three large national surveys from Brazil, China and the Russian Federation show that the prevalence of such households was $8 \%$ in China and Russia and $11 \%$ in Brazil. Even more important from the public health perspective is the finding that these 'underweight/overweight' households accounted for a high proportion of all households that had at least one underweight member (China, 23\%; Brazil, $45 \%$; Russian Federation, $58 \%$ ). The prevalence of such underweight/overweight households was highest in urban areas in all three countries. The underweight child co-existing with an overweight nonelderly adult was the predominant pair combination in all three countries.

It is to be expected that increasing migration between countries and from rural to urban environments all around the world, and the consequent increasing urbanization, will create wider differences in the prevalence of obesity within populations as the mixture of cultural and educational factors come into play. The findings on the high prevalence of obesity in certain social groups raise questions about the possible structural causes the roles of social, economic, cultural or environmental factors. How such factors may be acting to curtail physical activity and/or influence food habits remains unclear.

## Obesity during childhood and adolescence

It is not possible to give an overview of the global prevalence of obesity in children or adolescents because there is no common agreement on the classification of obesity in different periods of growth. BMI cut-points for different ages have recently been proposed (Cole et al., 2000). Obesity is mostly acquired during adulthood and its role in the etiology of cancer usually concerns middle-aged and older adults. However, childhood obesity seems to be increasing in all countries that have data on time trends and it usually persists into adulthood (Rudolf et al., 2001).

Cross-sectional studies in Europe indicate that overweight and obesity are a growing problem (Livingstone, 2000). The prevalence varies in a complex manner with time, age, sex and geographical region. The prevalence of obesity in young children is lower than among adolescents. Gender differences in prevalence are inconsistent. The highest rates of obesity are observed in eastern and southern European countries, particularly in Greece, Hungary, Italy and Spain. In contrast, northern European countries tend to have lower rates that are broadly similar across countries.

In the United States between 1963 and 1994, prevalence of obesity in $6-17$-year olds (defined as a BMI at or above the NHANES II 95th percentile) has increased from approximately $4 \%$ to $11 \%$. A further $14 \%$ are currently at risk of becoming overweight ( BMI between the 85th and 95th percentiles) and these rates are continuing to increase (Livingstone, 2000) (Figure 15). The prevalence of overweight and obesity has increased among young inner-city schoolchildren, for example this was reported in Montreal from the early to late 1990s (O'Loughlin et al., 2000). Primary school children in Australia have also become more obese between 1985 and 1997 (Lazarus et al., 2000). Similar

##  

| Country (period) | \% Overweight | \% Obese |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Urban | Rural | High education | Low education |
| Sub-Saharan Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benin (1996) | 6.9 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 8.7 | 1.7 |
| Burkina Faso (1992/93) | 5.9 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 7.1 | 0.8 |
| Central African Repubic (1994/95) | 5.5 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 0.8 |
| Comoros (1996) | 15.9 | 4.4 | 9.6 | 2.7 | 7.0 | 4.0 |
| Cote d'lvoire (1994) | 11.0 | 3.0 | 6.2 | 1.3 | 5.4 | 2.7 |
| Ghana (1993) | 9.3 | 3.4 | 8.1 | 1.5 | 12.2 | 2.9 |
| Kenya (1993) | 11.4 | 2.4 | 5.4 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 2.1 |
| Malawi (1992) | 8.1 | 1.1 | 5. | - | 8.3 | 0.8 |
| Mali (1996) | 7.2 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 6.5 | 1.0 |
| Namibia (1992) | 13.8 | 7.1 | 13.4 | 3.4 | 9.6 | 5.7 |
| Niger (1992) | 6.2 | 1.2 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 9.6 | 1.1 |
| Senegal (1992/93) | 12.0 | 3.7 | 7.2 | 1.9 | 9.7 | 3.4 |
| Tanzania (1992/92) | 9.3 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 9.6 | 1.6 |
| Tanzania (1996) | 10.8 | 2.6 | 6.0 | 1.7 | 8.4 | 2.3 |
| Uganda (1995) | 7.3 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| Zambia (1992) | 11.8 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 3.9 | 1.9 |
| Zambia (1996/97) | 10.5 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 1.9 |
| Zimbabwe (1994) | 17.4 | 5.7 | 12.5 | 3.4 | 7.3 | 4.8 |
| Middle East and North Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt (1992) | 33.9 | 23.5 | 35.8 | 14.8 | 29.6 | 21.7 |
| Egypt (1995/96) | 31.7 | 20.1 | 30.0 | 26.1 | 13.0 | 17.0 |
| Morocco (1992) | 22.3 | 10.5 | 18.3 | 5.5 | 16.4 | 9.8 |
| South Asia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bangladesh (1995/96) | 2.2 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.3 |
| Nepal (1996) | 1.5 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Latin America and Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bolivia (1994) | 26.2 | 7.6 | 9.8 | 5.1 | 8.0 | 7.4 |
| Brazil (1989) | 25.0 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 13.4 | 8.7 |
| Brazil (1996) | 25.0 | 9.7 | 9.9 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 11.0 |
| Colombia (1995) | 31.4 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 9.9 |
| Dominican Republic (1991) | 18.6 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 4.7 | 7.8 | 6.9 |
| Dominican Republic (1996) | 26.0 | 12.1 | 13.4 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 13.8 |
| Guatemala (1995) | 26.2 | 8.0 | 12.9 | 5.2 | 13.1 | 7.1 |
| Haiti (1994/95) | 8.9 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 9.5 | 1.5 |
| Honduras (1996) | 23.8 | 7.8 | 13.0 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 8.1 |
| Mexico (1987) | 23.1 | 10.4 | 10.0 | 10.4 | 5.4 | 15.8 |
| Peru (1992) | 31.1 | 8.8 | 11.2 | 4.6 | 9.5 | 8.1 |
| Peru (1996) | 35.5 | 9.4 | 12.1 | 4.6 | 10.4 | 8.2 |
| Central Eastern Europe/Commonwealth of Independent States (CEE/CIS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kazakstan (1995) | 21.8 | 16.7 | 17.5 | 15.6 | - | - |
| Turkey (1993) | 31.7 | 18.6 | 19.5 | 17.1 | 10.5 | 20.5 |
| Uzbekistan (1996) | 16.3 | 5.4 | 7.4 | 4.2 | - | - |

Source: adapted from Martorell et al., 2000a


Figure 13 Obesity in women (15-49 yrs) in Sub-Saharan Africa
Adapted from Martorell et al., 2000a


Figure 14 Obesity in women ( $15-49$ yrs) in Latin America/Caribbean
Adapted from Martorell et al., 2000a
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Figure 15 Prevalence (\%) of obesity in 6-11-year-old and 12-17-year-old children in surveys in the United States from the 1960 s to the late 1980s
trends have been reported from Denmark (Sørensen et al., 1997; Thomsen et al., 1999), the Netherlands (Fredriks et al., 2000) and Portugal (de Castro et al., 1998).

In a large analysis on overweight and obesity in preschool children in developing countries, 71 national nutrition surveys since 1986 from 50 countries were used (Martorell et al., 2000b). For this analysis, overweight and obesity were defined as values $>1$ or $>2$ standard deviations above the WHO/NCHS mean weight-for-height. The prevalence of overweight and obesity was lowest in Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. Overweight was more common in urban areas, in children with mothers with high education, and in girls. In a number of countries in Latin America and the Caribbean, the Middle East and North Africa, and the CEE/CIS region, levels were as high as in the United States. A recent study of Bahraini school children found that the mean BMI for girls aged 13 years and above exceeded that of their American counterparts (Musaiger \& Gregory, 2000).

Since fatness can change at a constant BMI, it is possible that children
may be getting fatter at the expense of lean tissue, which may be decreasing as a result of diminishing physical activity.

## Physical activity

Because physical activity is important in the prevention of a variety of diseases and conditions (US Department of Health and Human Services, 1996), it has been included as part of health behaviour monitoring in many countries.

Many countries monitor only leisuretime physical activity because national policies assume that individuals find this type of activity most amenable to intervention, and because occupational physical activity is now uncommon in westernized countries, which are the source of most comparative national data (Caspersen, 1994). However, individuals who perform large amounts of occupational activity would be misclassified as inactive if they performed little or no leisure-time activity. Even though countries may not employ a common survey assessment methodology, there is value in comparing existing data while carefully examining factors that may be responsible for differences in the estimates. Little
information from developing countries is available.

## National surveys

Seven countries have included questions on physical activity as part of national surveys during the 1990s. (1) Australia conducted a National Physical Activity Survey in 1997 and 1999 (Armstrong et al., 2000); (2) Canada conducted a National Population Health Survey in 1994-95 and 1996-97 (Health Canada, 1999); (3) England conducted a National Health Survey in 1994-95 and 1998-99 (Prior, 1999); (4) Finland conducted a National Health Monitoring Survey annually from 1978 through 1999 (Helakorpi et al., 1999); (5) Ireland conducted the Happy Heart National Survey in 1992 (Irish Heart Foundation, 1994); (6) New Zealand conducted the Life in New Zealand Survey in 1989-90 (Hopkins et al., 1991; Russell \& Wilson, 1991); and (7) the United States conducted the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) in 1985, 1990 and 1991 (US Department of Health and Human Services, 1996). In addition, one multinational comparison of physical activity was conducted in 1997 among the 15

|  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country, survey name (reference) year(s) of survey | Sponsor | Type of survey sample | Mode of administration | Months of survey | Sample size | Age of sample | Response rate |
| Australia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Physical Activity Survey (Armstrong et al., 2000), 1997, 1999 | Australia Institute of Health and Welfare, Department of Health and Aged Care | Households randomly selected using electronic White Pages directory. Individuals of the household with the most recent birthdate were asked to participate. | Telephone interview | NovemberDecember | 3841 | 18-75 | 49-58\% |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Population Health Survey (Health Canada, 1999), 1994-95, 1996-97 | Health Canada | Target population was provincial residents aged 12 years and older, except those living on Indian reserves, Canadian Forces bases, and in remote areas of Ontario and Quebec | Household interview | June 1994August 1995 and June 1996August 1997 | 69524 | $>12$ | $N R^{a}$ |
| England |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Health Survey for England (Prior, 1999), 1994-95, 1998-99 | National Centre for Social Research, Department of Health | Multi-stage stratified random sample with households drawn from Postcode Address File. Sampled addresses selected from 720 postal sectors. | Computerassisted household interview | January 1994- <br> April 1995 <br> and <br> January 1998- <br> April 1999 | 1908 | $\geq 16$ | 63\% |
| European Union ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pan-European Union Survey (European Commission, 1999; Kearney et al., 1999), 1997 | European Commission, DirectorateGeneral for Employment, Industrial Relations and Social Affairs | Multi-stage stratified cluster sample with quota samples in 15 countries based on age, sex and social class | Household interview | March-April | 1239 | $\geq 15$ | NR |


|  |  | TED 640 | 0 Lt 9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country, survey name, (reference) year(s) of survey | Sponsor | Type of survey sample | Mode of administration | Months of survey | Sample size | Age of sample | Response rate |
| Finland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Health Behaviour Monitoring System (Helakorpi et al., 1999), 1978-99 | National Public Health Institute | Random sample selected from the National Population Register | Postal survey | April | 3371 | 15-64 | 68\% |
| Ireland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Happy Heart National Survey (Irish Heart Foundation, 1994), 1992 | Irish Heart Foundation | Household address chosen at random from Electoral Register. Interviewers chose remainder of households within the cluster. Additional sample quotas by age and sex. | Household interview | November | 1798 | 30-69 | N/R |
| New Zealand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life in New Zealand Survey (Hopkins et al., 1991; Russell \& Wilson, 1991), 1989-90 | Hillary Commission for Recreation and Sport | Random sample drawn from 97 electoral rolls of 1988. Mailing sent to about 10 persons per month | Phase I: <br> Postal survey Phase II: Intervieweradministered survey at health examination | April 1989March 1990 | 11295 | $\geq 15$ | 45\% |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Health Interview Survey (USDHHS, 1996), 1985, 1990, 1991 | National Center for Health Statistics | Stratified multi-stage probability design with oversampling of African-Americans and Hispanics | Household interview | JanuaryDecember | $\begin{aligned} & 36399- \\ & 43732 \end{aligned}$ | $\geq 18$ | 83-88\% |

[^0]|  |  |  | 2ble 11 (60\% | ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country, survey name, (reference), year(s) of survey | Recall period | Total survey items | Method of activity probing | Type of physical activity and nature and detail of survey data | Activity summary score |
|  | Past 4 weeks | 5 | Close-ended | Work <br> Combination of Standard Occupational Classification code and perception of degree of physical activity on the job (mainly sitting down/standing up/walking about, doing any climbing (excluding climbing stairs), lifting/ carrying heavy loads. Work coded as vigorous if on a selected list of vigorous occupations. | Three non-independent groups: <br> 1. Mainly sitting or standing <br> 2. Climbing <br> 3. Lifting/carrying heavy loads |
| European Union ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pan-European Union Survey (European Commission, 1999; Kearney et al., 1999), 1997 | Average week | 18 activities (17 listed, 1 'other') | List-specific | Leisure <br> Recall of hours/week in 18 activities spent in 7 categories of duration: $\leq 0.5,1,1.5,2,3,4$ $\geq 5 \mathrm{~h} /$ week | Sum of time/week spent in 18 activities. <br> Summarized into 4 groups: none, < 1.5, 1.5-3.5, > $3.5 \mathrm{~h} /$ week |
|  | Typical day at work, college, in office or at home | 3 activities | List-specific | Work <br> Recall of hours/day spent in 3 intensity levels of work activity (sitting, standing/walking, physical work) for 10 categories of duration ranging from $0-\geq 8$ h/day | Time/day spent in each of 3 work intensity levels. Summarized into 4 groups: none, < 2, 3-6, > $6 \mathrm{~h} /$ day |
| Finland |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Health Behaviour Monitoring System (Helakorpi et al., 1999), 1999 | Past year | 1 | Close-ended | Leisure <br> Physical activities performed for at least one half hour and that at least causes light sweating or breathlessness | Categories of a few times a year or less, 2-3 times a month, once a week, 2-3 time/week, 4-6/per week, and daily (noted those who cannot exercise) |
|  | Usual work day | 1 | Close-ended | Work 'How physically demanding is respondent's job ?' | Categories included: 'Job mainly involves sitting', 'Work involves quite a lot of walking,' 'Work involves much walking and lifting,' and 'Work is very physically demanding' |
|  | Usual work day | 1 | Close-ended | Transportation ${ }^{C}$ <br> Time spent travelling to and from work by walking or cycling | Categories of 15, 15-30, $30-60, \geq 60$ minutes/day |

a $\mathrm{NR}=$ not reported
The 15 countries included in the European Union survey were Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom.
${ }^{c}$ Response included those who did not work or worked at home.
The two regression equations used to estimate the respondent's maximum cardiorespiratory capacity (expressed in metabolic equivalents, METs) are: 60-0.55 x age (years)] 3.5 for men, and [48-0.37 x age(years)] 3.5 for women (Jones \& Campbell, 1982).


Figure 16 Finlandia Ski Race, with thousands of participants
member states of the European Union (EU) (European Commission, 1999; Kearney et al., 1999; Margetts et al., 1999; Vaz de Almeida et al., 1999). To be considered in this section, the data for each country had to have been drawn from some sort of representative national sample and had to offer sufficient details of the physical activity survey and mode of administration to allow to understanding of the summary scores that were presented.

The methods used for these surveys are summarized in Table 10 and show the following common features: the surveys in most countries except Ireland had governmental sponsors; each country used some form of random sampling strategy to derive a population sampling frame; and the most common mode of survey administration was a household interview, except for a telephone interview (Australia) and postal surveys (Finland and New Zealand). Many countries conducted surveys in several different seasons of the year, although Canada, England, New Zealand and the United States collected data for 12-month periods. Although
countries had different sample sizes that paralleled the sizes of their populations, they tended to have different lower age bounds for their samples but generally no upper age bound, except for Australia (age 75), Finland (age 64) and Ireland (age 69). Overall survey response rates varied from 49-58\% for Australia to $88 \%$ for the United States.

The summary of the characteristics of physical activity surveys in Table 11 shows that the recall period varied from a usual work day to the prior year (both for Finland); the total survey items ranged from four single-item queries with closed-ended responses (Finland) to batteries of listed activities with questions on average frequency, duration and intensity of activity participation (United States). Each country assessed leisuretime physical activity, while four countries (England, Finland, Ireland and New Zealand) and the EU assessed work activity, and two countries (Finland and New Zealand) assessed transport to and from work. The wording of the activity questions varied in many ways from survey to survey. Although countries had collected physical activity data via
self-reported questionnaires having less than ideal reliability and validity (see also Chapter 1), more accurate, meaningful and cost-effective physical activity measures are not available for representative, population-based samples.

Physical activity summary scores ranged from selecting one or combining several closed-ended response options (Finland), to scoring specific patterns of frequency and duration of leisure-time physical activity (England and the United States) or estimating daily expenditure of energy (per kg body weight) (Canada). At the highest levels of activity (see Table 12), summary scores usually reflected energy expenditure (regular activity at least five times per week and lasting $\geq 30$ minutes duration) or the likelihood of enhancing and maintaining aerobic capacity (vigorous activity performed at least three times per week and lasting $\geq 20$ minutes duration) - the traditional exercise prescription (Caspersen et al., 1994). Most countries could be compared according to the lowest levels of physical activity, which ranged from physical inactivity in leisure time to $\leq 6.3 \mathrm{~kJ}$ ( 1.5 kcal$) / \mathrm{kg} /$ day of total estimated energy expenditure (Canada). Summary scores for work activity focused on prolonged times spent sitting on the job (EU and Finland) to participation in jobs requiring a lot of walking, lifting or physically demanding tasks (Finland). Generally, higher prevalence of the lowest levels of activity, regardless of whether this reflected leisure, work or transportation activities, were most likely to be considered as risk factors for disease or as detrimental to health.

## Total prevalence of physical activity

In the surveys, the country-specific prevalence of the lowest activity levels in leisure time varied greatly (Table 12). The lowest prevalence was associated with restrictive activity definitions that were hard to meet, such as performing leisuretime activity for only a few times a year or
being unable to exercise ( $10.7 \%$ for Finland), or performing absolutely no physical activity during the previous week ( $14.6 \%$ for Australia) or during leisure-time ( $24.3 \%$ for the United States). The prevalence was highest for Canada $(56.7 \%$ ), where the definition (<6.3 kJ (1.5 kcal)/kg/day) could be easily satisfied by either doing no activity or even small amounts of physical activities. All other country-specific prevalence estimates were intermediate between those from these countries with extremes in definitions.

The country-specific prevalence for the highest activity levels in leisure time also varied widely (Table 12), being lowest for large amounts of vigorous activity such as running for $\geq 3$ hours per week ( $12.7 \%$ for Ireland). The prevalence of regular participation in vigorous physical activity during the prior two weeks was $16.4 \%$ in the United States. In contrast, the highest prevalence was $59.0 \%$ (EU countries) for those reporting $>3.5$ hours/week in 18 leisure
activities and 62.8\% (Finland) for those participating in as little as two episodes per week of physical activity producing light sweating or breathlessness lasting $\geq 30$ minutes (Figure 17). The prevalence for other countries varied between 20.6\% (Canada) and 45.2\% (Australia). Estimates of persons who reported that they did not participate in any recreational activities were compiled for the 15 individual member countries of the EU (Figure 18). The lowest prevalence ( $8.1 \%$, average for men and women) was in Finland and the highest (59.8\%) in Portugal, a nearly threefold difference. The average for all EU countries was $30.9 \%$, with countries of more northerly latitude having lower prevalence and Mediterranean countries and those of more southerly latitude having higher prevalence than the average.

Four countries assessed work-related physical activity (Table 12); the lowest prevalence ( $19.0 \%$ ) for the lowest work levels was noted for EU countries report-
ing at least six hours of sitting at work. The highest prevalence was for Finland $(50.9 \%)$ for work that involves mainly sitting. The prevalence of the highest levels of work-related physical activity that had definitions most indicative of more physically demanding jobs was $31.6 \%$ for active or very active work in Ireland, and $45.0 \%$ for liffing and/or carrying heavy loads in England.

Only Finland assessed activity associated with travel to or from work (Table 12), with $46.0 \%$ of employed adults reporting the use of car or bus for transport and $39.0 \%$ walking or cycling for at least 15 minutes per day.

## Prevalence of physical activity according to sociodemographic characteristics

Within a data-set, differences in cross-sectional prevalence between sexes (Table 12), age groups (Figure 19) or levels of socioeconomic status (Table 13) are described by the absolute size of the difference in percentage points (in


Figure 17 Examples of recreational activities (practised in Finland)

| 2abe ex 2 |  | 1 MOM M Cmpes | 21) 15 <br> AbI |  OHE 14 ST $62=$ | 2le a $)^{1} \mathrm{C}$ | 0. 454 |  |  | ¢ | 898 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of activity, country, survey name (reference), year of survey | Lowest activity |  |  | Moderate activity |  |  |  | Highest activity |  |  |  |
|  | Definition of activity level | Prevalence (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Definition of activity level | Prevalence (\%) |  |  | Definition of activity level | Prevalence (\%) |  |  |
|  |  | T M | F |  |  | M | F |  | T | M | F |
| Leisure activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Physical <br> Activity Survey <br> (Armstrong et al., 2000), <br> 1999 | Sedentary <br> No physical activity during previous week | 14.614 .6 | 14.7 | Insufficiently active $>$ sedentary and < sufficiently active | 40.2 | 38.3 | 41.9 | Sufficientily active $\geq 150 \mathrm{~min} /$ week and $\geq 5$ sessions/week in walking, moderate, or vigorous activity | 45.2 | 47.1 | 43.4 |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nationa Population Health Survey (Health Canada, 1999), 1996-97 | Inactive < $1.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}$ | 56.753 .8 | 59.5 | Moderately active $1.5-2.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}$ |  | 22.3 | 23.0 | Active $\geq 3.0 \mathrm{kca} / / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}$ | 20.6 | 23.9 | 17.5 |
| England |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Health Survey for England (Prior, 1999), 1998 | Group 1 <br> $\leq 3$ occasions/4 weeks of moderate or vigorous activity for $\geq 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | 38.035 .0 | 41.0 | Group 2 4-19 occasions/4 weeks of moderate vigorous activity for $\geq 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | 31.0 <br> or |  | 34.0 | Group 3 <br> $\geq 20$ occasions/4 weeks of moderate or vigorous activity for $\geq 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | 31.0 | 37.0 | 25.0 |
| European Union ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pan-European Union | Leisure time |  |  | Leisure time |  |  |  | Leisure time |  |  |  |
| Survey (Vaz de Almeida et al., 1999), 1997 | No time spent in 18 leisure time activities | $31.0 \mathrm{NR}^{\text {c }}$ | NR | > 0-3.5 h/week spent in 18 leisure time activities | 10.0 |  | NR | $>3.5 \mathrm{~h} /$ week spent in 18 leisure time activities | 59.0 | NR | NR |
| Finland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Health | Leisure time |  |  | Leisure time |  |  |  | Leisure time | 62.8 | 62.9 | 62.6 |
| Behaviour Monitoring | $\leq$ a few times a | 10.712 .1 | 9.4 | 1 time/week or 2-3 | 26.6 | 25.0 | 28.0 | $\geq 2$ times/week and |  |  |  |
| System (Helakorpi et al., | year of physical |  |  | times/month of |  |  |  | $\geq 30 \mathrm{~min} / 0 c c a s i o n$ |  |  |  |
| 1999), 1999 | activity to produce |  |  | physical activity |  |  |  | of physical activity to |  |  |  |
|  | light sweating or |  |  | to produce light |  |  |  | produce light sweating |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ireland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Happy Heart National | Sedentary |  |  | Moderate |  |  |  | Active/very active |  |  |  |
| Survey (Irish Heart Foundation, 1994), 1992 | Sedentary at leisure | 39.036 .1 | 42.0 | Moderate (walking, etc., $\geq 4 \mathrm{~h} /$ week) | 48.3 | 47.2 | 49.4 | Active (running, etc $>3 \mathrm{~h} /$ week) and very active (regular training, competitive sports) | 12.7 | 16.7 | 8.6 |
| New Zealand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life in New Zealand | Low |  |  | Moderate |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |
| Survey (Hopkins et al., 1991; Russell \& Wilson, 1991), 1990 | Not in moderate or high group | 31.037 .0 | 25.0 | Not in high group but had $\geq 21 \mathrm{~h} /$ week of medium/ low-intensity activity |  | 31.0 | 51.0 | $\geq 2$ occasions/week in high-intensity activity for total of $\geq 1 \mathrm{~h} /$ week | 28.0 | 32.0 | 24.0 |


| Type of activity, country, survey name (reference), year of survey | Lowest activity |  |  | Moderate activity |  |  |  | Highest activity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Definition of activity level | Prevalence (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Definition of activity level | Prevalence (\%) |  |  | Definition of activity level | Prevalence (\%) |  |  |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Health Interview Survey (USDHHS, 1996), 1991 | No leisure-time activity | 24.321 .4 | 26.9 | 100\%- (prevalence of lowest plus highest activity levels) |  | 52.2 | 52.4 | Regular, sustained $\geq 5$ times/week and $\geq 30 \mathrm{~min} /$ occasion | 23.5 | 26.6 | 20.7 |
| Work activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Health Survey for England (Prior, 1999), 1998 | Mainly sitting or standing <br> Not in any of the 3 groups (e.g., mainly walking about, climbing, or lifting and/or carrying heavy loads) | 36.032 .0 | 40.0 | Climbing 100\% - (prevalence of lowest plus highest activity) | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | Carrying leavy loads Lifting and/or carrying heavy loads | 45.0 | 49.0 | 41.0 |
| European Union |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pan-European Union Survey (European Commission, 1999), 1997 | Sitting $\geq 6 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{day}$ | 19.020 .0 | 18.0 | Sitting < 2-6 h/day | 75.0 | 74.0 | 76.0 | Sitting 0 h/day | 6.5 | 6.0 | 7.0 |
| Finland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Health Behaviour Monitoring System (Helakorpi et al., 1999), 1999 | Work activities Job mainly sitting | 50.948 .9 | 52.6 | Work activities NR | NR | NR | NR | Work activities Work involves quite a lot of walking, much walking and lifting, or is very physically demanding | 49.1 | 51.1 | 47.5 |
| Ireland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Happy Heart National Survey (Irish Heart Foundation, 1994), 1992 | Sedentary Sedentary during usual working day | 27.025 .2 | 32.1 | Moderate Moderately active, walking quite a lot | 41.4 | 35.4 | 58.8 | Active/very active Active/very active during a usual working day | 31.6 | 39.4 | 9.1 |
| Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Finland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Health Behaviour Monitoring System (Helakorpi et al., 1999), 1999 | Transport Travel to work by car or by bus | 46.055 .8 | 37.5 | Transport < $15 \mathrm{~min} /$ day of walking or cycling to work |  | 14.0 |  | Transport $\geq 15 \mathrm{~min} /$ day of walking or cycling to work | 39.0 | 30.0 | 46.5 |

${ }^{a} T,=$ total,$M=$ male, $F=$ female. Total prevalence represents a simple, unweighted average of male and female prevalences for England (for all types of activity) and the European Union (for work activity only).
The 15 countries included in the European Union survey were Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, cNR, not reported


Figure 18 Prevalence of reporting no recreational physical activity participation for 15 member countries of the European Union, sorted from low to high European Commission, 1999
parentheses) as: small ( $<5.0 \%$ ), moderate (5.0-9.9\%), large ( $10.0-14.9 \%$ ), and very large ( $\geq 15.0 \%$ ) (Caspersen et al., 2000). The differences between sexes for the lowest levels of leisure-time physical activity were small for Australia ( $0.1 \%$ ) and Finland ( $2.7 \%$ ), but large for New Zealand ( $12.0 \%$ ), with all other countries having moderate differences of $5.5-6.0 \%$. For the highest activity levels, the same countries showed similar small and moderate differences between the sexes to those noted for the lowest activity levels, except that the largest sex difference ( $12.0 \%$ ) was noted for England, where the definition of highest activity included participation in moderate and vigorous physical activity. Because 'vigorous' was defined in absolute terms in England, e.g., $\geq 31 \mathrm{~kJ}$ ( 7.5 kcal )/min, it may have been harder for women to reach this intensity than for
men, who have a higher average maximal cardiorespiratory capacity at any given age (Caspersen, 1994). Although not tabulated, the sex difference for regular, vigorous physical activity participation was small (3.2\%) in the United States, where the definition of "vigorous" was standardized according to age and sex. Otherwise, sex differences were mainly of moderate magnitude (e.g., 5.9-8.0\%).

Sex differences for individual EU countries were quite variable. Women usually had lower prevalence of physical activity than men, the differences being small for Austria ( -2.5 ), Denmark ( -2.5 ) and Ireland ( -1.0 ), moderate for Germany (-5.6), Italy (-6.9), Spain $(-7.2)$ and Sweden ( -7.2 ), large for France (-12.6) and Greece (-12.8), and very large for Portugal (-20.5). Men had lower prevalence than women for

Belgium (9.4), Finland (1.5), and the United Kingdom (5.0). There was essentially no sex difference for Luxembourg $(-0.1)$ and the Netherlands (0.9).

For Finland, sex differences were small ( $3.7 \%$ ) for prolonged time spent sitting on the job, while differences were moderate ( $8.0 \%$ ) for lifting and/or carrying heavy loads in England, but very large (30.3\%) for active and/or very active work in Ireland (Table 12). For Finland, sex differences were very large ( $\geq 15 \%$ ) for transport to or from work, with men being more likely to use a car or bus, and women more likely to walk or cycle for $\geq 15$ minutes per day.

Most countries showed increases in the lowest levels of physical activity as cross-sectional age increased (Figure 19(a)). Canada had a curvilinear increase essentially reaching an asymptotic plateau for the oldest ages,
(a)

(b)


Figure 19 Changes in the (a) lowest and (b) highest levels of physical activity by cross-sectional age, by country
Data from Vaz de Almeida et al. (1999)
while Australia, Finland and the United States had plateaus across broad age spans following an initial increase at the youngest ages, or which was followed by an increase in prevalence in the oldest age group. The increases in prevalence were nearly identical for England and New Zealand between the ages of 20 and 60 years. The decreases in prevalence with increasing age for the highest levels of physical activity (Figure

19(b)) often corresponded to the increases in the lowest activity levels (Figure 19(a)). Australia and the United States had plateaus that corresponded to increases noted for the lowest activity levels, although Finland's plateau for the lowest activity level became a notable U-shaped curve. The other countries showed varying forms of decreasing prevalence with increasing age.

Each country provided data on prevalence of physical activity levels according to levels of socioeconomic status (Table 13) that suggest not only existing health disparities but also areas needing intervention. In terms of level of education, the differences in prevalence for the lowest leisure-time physical activity levels were moderate for Australia ( $8.6 \%$ comparing $<12$ and $\geq 12$ years of education) and for New Zealand (9.0\% comparing primary school with university or technical school education), and very large for the United States ( $22.9 \%$ comparing $<12$ years with college education or higher). The differences for the highest levels of physical activity were large for Australia ( $13.7 \%$ ) and for the United States ( $10.4 \%$ ), and very large for New Zealand (23.0\%), but almost non-existent for Finland ( $1.0 \%$ comparing $<10$ years and $\geq 13$ years of education). Three countries used different constructs to assess socioeconomic status. For the lowest and highest activity levels, respectively, Canada reported a large ( $10.7 \%$ ) and a moderate (5.9\%) difference comparing the lowest and highest quartiles of income adequacy; England reported a small ( $4.0 \%$ ) and a moderate ( $9.5 \%$ ) difference when comparing social class I with class V ; and Ireland reported moderate differences (5.4\% and 6.8\% when comparing persons engaged in unskilled, semiskilled, and skilled manual professions with those engaged in professional and non-manual professions). Hence, there was a tendency, though not uniform, towards larger differences for the highest leisure-time activity levels compared with the lowest levels, regardless of the construct used to assess socioeconomic status. The converse was seen for socioeconomic contrasts for work activity, where differences were larger for the lowest activity levels compared with the highest activity levels for countries of the EU ( $15.0 \%$ and $6.0 \%$, respectively when comparing primary and tertiary
五

| Type of activity, country, survey name (reference), year of survey | Definition of socioeconomic status |  | Physical activity level ${ }^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lowest | (\%) | Moderat | (\%) | Highest |  |
|  |  |  | Socioeconomic status |  | Socioeconomic status |  | Socioeconomic status |  |
|  | Lowest | Highest | Lowest | Highest | Lowest | Highest | Lowest | Highest |
| New Zealand <br> Life in New Zealand Survey (Hopkins et al., 1991; Russell \& Wilson, 1991), 1990 | Education Primary school | Education University, technical school | 46.0 | 37.0 | 49.0 | 35.0 | 5.0 | 28.0 |
| United States <br> National Health Interview Survey (USDHHS, 1996) 1991 | Education < 12 years | Education College ( $\geq 16$ years) | 37.1 | 14.2 | 44.8 | 57.3 | 18.1 | 28.5 |
| Work activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European Union Pan-European Union Survey (European Commission, 1999) 1997 | Education Primary level | Education Tertiary level | 13.0 | 28.0 | 78.0 | 69.0 | 9.0 | 3.0 |
| Ireland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Happy Heart National Survey (Irish Heart Foundation, 1994), 1992 | Profession Unskilled, semiskilled, and skilled manual professions | Profession Higher professional, lower professional, other non-manual professions | 15.9 | 35.3 | 48.6 | 47.5 | 35.6 | 22.4 |
| Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Finland <br> National Health Behaviour Monitoring System (Helakorpi et al., 1999), 1999 | Education <br> < 10 years | Education $\geq 13$ years | NR | NR | NR | NR | 45.0 | 37.0 |

[^1]

Figure 20 Trends in (a) lowest and (b) highest levels of physical activity by country
educational levels) and for Ireland ( $19.4 \%$ and $13.2 \%$, respectively). For transport to and from work, there was a moderate difference ( $8.0 \%$ ) in Finland. From these data, it is clear that socioeconomic status usually has a moderate to very large association with prevalence of physical activity.

## Trends in physical activity prevalence

Physical activity trends are described by the absolute size of the average annual rate of change in prevalence (in parentheses) as: small ( $<0.5 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ ), moderate ( $0.5-2.9 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ ), large ( $3.0-4.9 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ ) and very large ( $>5.0 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ ) (Caspersen et al., 2000). Trends in the lowest levels of
physical activity are inconsistent (Figure 20(a)), with a moderate decrease for Canada ( $-0.5 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ from 1995 to 1996), a moderate increase for England $(+1.4 \% / \mathrm{yr})$ from 1994 to 1998), and a small decrease for the United States $(-0.2 \% / \mathrm{yr})$ from 1985 to 1990). A decrease for the United States from 1990 to 1991 reflected a survey change (Pereira et al., 1997; US Department of Health and Human Services, 1996), so that further trend determination is not possible.

Trends in the highest levels of physical activity (Figure 20(b)) were also inconsistent, showing small decreases for Canada ( $-0.2 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ ) from 1995 to 1996), for England (+0.4\%/yr from 1994
to 1998) and for the United States (+0.2\%/yr) from 1985 to 1990). Although not shown in Figure 20, there was a small decrease in regular, vigorous physical activity in the United States ( $-0.1 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ ) over the same time period. Conversely, there was a quite large decrease for Australia ( $-2.9 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ ) from 1997 to 1999) which would be truly alarming for this country if continued over an extended period.

## Children and adolescents

Childhood is generally the most physically active time during life, although in westernized countries, both crosssectional and longitudinal studies have shown levels of physical activity to decline dramatically during adolescence and early adulthood (Anderssen et al., 1996; van Mechelen et al., 2000; Telama \& Young, 2000). However, there have been few surveys of nationally representative samples of children and adolescents which can be compared (Caspersen et al., 2000). This may arise in part from the difficulty in reliably and validly measuring physical activity in children and adolescents ranging in age from 4 to 17 years (Kohl et al., 2000), while physical activity assessment for children aged $3-5$ years is even more problematic.

## Concluding comments

Data from these national surveys reveal that many countries have high prevalence of the lowest physical activity levels in their adult populations, suggesting that many aduits are at risk for chronic diseases. This is reinforced by the often low prevalence of the highest physical activity levels - levels that would be likely to confer health benefits. However, existing surveys have not normally been designed to determine physical activity levels to address population-based cancer risks.

The differences in physical activity prevalence by sex and age, and for contrasting levels of socioeconomic
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indices, reveal that disparities prevail for physical activity participation between population groups in these countries. Such differences suggest foci for in tervention efforts. The few available trend data are, however, inconsistent from country to country and mostly relate to short time frames (with the exception of Finland), highlighting the need for longerterm, immutable surveillance systems that use questionnaires having high reliability and validity (Caspersen et al, 1994)

In conclusion, national population prevalence data, primarily on participation in leisure-time physical activity, exist for only a small number of industrialized nations. Earlier comparisons of population surveys from various countries concluded that the extent of participation by adults in leisure-time physical activities
of the moderate and vigorous type is not at a satisfactory level (Stephens \& Caspersen, 1994).

- Over half the adult populations of industrialized countries are insufficiently active in their leisure time to yield health benefits.
- One quarter to one third of adults may be classified as totally inactive in their leisure time.
- Less than $15 \%$ of adults participate in regular, vigorous activity.
- There is a clear social-class gradient for leisure time physical activity in most industrialized populations; those who are socially and economically disadvantaged are less active.

More recent data on trends in leisuretime physical activity participation show these patterns to have been relatively
stable over the past two decades. There are several examples of significant regional (Bauman et al., 1999; US Department of Health and Human Services, 1996), seasonal (Uitenbroek, 1993) and latitudinal (Centers for Disease Control, 1997) variations in participation in leisure-time physical activity. However, leisure-time activity is just one component of overal energy expenditure - other aspects of physical activity need to be better understood.

For the vast majority of countries worldwide, population data on physical activity participation are unavailable. This is the case for most of the world's developing nations. In these countries, economic transition involves large numbers of people moving from traditionally active rural lifestyles to cities and other urban environments. In such settings, they are likely to be much less physically active. It is plausible that such changes in physical activity are occurring and affecting an increasing proportion of the world's population, but to what extent they are taking place cannot be quantified, in the absence of population surveillance systems. Even in many developed countries, relevant data are unavailable, and where available, often address only leisure-time physical activity.


[^0]:    a NR, not reported.
    ${ }^{6}$ The 15 countries included in the European Union survey were Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg,
    the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom

[^1]:    a Prevalence represents a simple, unweighted average of male and female prevalences for England (for all types of activities) and New Zealand (for all types of activity). © Social class of head of household is defined as Class ! and II = professional and intermediate occupations, Class III = manual skilled occupations, Class IV and $\mathrm{V}=$ partly-skilled and unskilled occupations.
    ${ }^{d}$ NR, $=$ not reported

