# Inherited genetic susceptibility to breast cancer

# J. Chang-Claude

Inherited genetic susceptibility to breast cancer can be due both to genes which confer a high degree of risk and to polygenes which have a smaller effect on disease risk. An estimated 5–10% of breast cancer is considered to be due to mutations in genes conferring high risk which results in hereditary patterns of disease. Two major breast cancer susceptibility genes, *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*, which were identified using linkage analysis in large extended breast and/or ovarian cancer pedigrees, are estimated to account for the majority of large families with breast/ovarian cancer predisposition and about two-thirds of large breast cancer families. The associated lifetime risk for breast cancer in mutation carriers ranges from 40% to 90%, depending on the extent of family history and the population. Other genetic factors, such as HRAS or CAG repeats of AR, as well as reproductive and hormonal factors may therefore modify cancer risk.

Women at particularly high risk of developing breast cancer represent a group in whom expensive and rigorous screening programmes are cost-effective and who may benefit from trials of chemoprevention. There are only preliminary data on the efficacy of increased surveillance and on risk reduction due to prophylactic surgery. However, for chemoprevention to be equivalent to prophylactic mastectomy, it will be necessary to strive for an equivalent reduction. The efficacy of chemoprevention in this high-risk population is unknown. Existing and new agents for chemoprevention need to be carefully assessed in properly designed clinical trials among such women. In the process, other factors modifying the penetrance in mutation carriers need to be taken into account in order to evaluate the true effect of the chemopreventive agents.

Polygenes confer much lower levels of risk and may be relevant for risk assessment when the effects of multiple loci, possibly in conjunction with environmental factors, are understood and quantified. At present, it seems unlikely that the genetic information at single polygenes will be clinically relevant for risk assessment and management.

# Introduction

The occurrence of a complex disease such as breast cancer can be attributed to joint effects of genetic and environmental factors. The genetic factors can include inherited genetic susceptibility due to major genes and polygenes as well as acquired somatic genetic aberrations. Major genes usually have a strong effect on disease risk and although disease-associated (mutated) alleles occur at relatively low frequency, they confer a high risk of disease to the individual. These 'highly penetrant' alleles are therefore associated with multiple occurrences of disease in a family, often in a Mendelian pattern of an autosomal dominant trait. Hereditary breast cancer is characterized by early age at onset and multifocal disease. An estimated 5–10% of breast cancer is considered to be due to the presence of a mutation in an autosomal dominant susceptibility gene. The majority of breast cancer which occurs in the absence of a strong family history and with later age at onset is likely to be due to the joint effects of several genes and environmental factors. Polygenes usually have a smaller effect on disease risk to the individual. However, the disease-associated alleles are relatively common in the population and may account for a larger attributable proportion of breast cancer than major genes in the general population.

#### Major susceptibility genes for breast cancer

Several major genes for breast cancer susceptibility have been identified, as shown in Table 1. They have been cloned as predisposing genes for different cancer syndromes in which breast cancer is a constituent tumour. Mutation analysis has confirmed that the cancer occurrence in these syndromes can be largely explained by the genes identified. However, there remains a proportion of such families in which the disease-associated allele has not been identified. This can be attributed to both the imperfect sensitivity of the mutation detection method and the existence of additional as yet unidentified susceptibility genes.

Mutations in several of the highly penetrant genes of the cancer syndromes associated with hereditary breast cancer, such as *TP53* (Li-Fraumeni syndrome), *MSH2* (Muir-Torre syndrome), *PTEN* (Cowden syndrome), *STK11* (Peutz-Jeghers syndrome), are extremely rare in the general population (Li *et al.*, 1988; Malkin *et al.*, 1990; Birch *et al.*, 1994; Li *et al.*, 1997; Spigelman *et al.*, 1989; Jenne *et al.*, 1998) (Table 1). They are unlikely to contribute to inherited breast cancer susceptibility manifested in families with elevated incidence of breast cancer and are considered to account for less than 1% of all breast cancer.

Families with hereditary breast cancer have been clinically recognized as multiple cases of early-onset cancer over several generations of close relatives with ovarian cancer as well as other cancer sites also involved. Two breast cancer susceptibility genes, BRCA1 and BRCA2, have been identified using linkage analysis in large extended breast and/or ovarian cancer pedigrees and subsequent molecular cloning (Miki et al., 1994; Wooster et al., 1995; Tavtigian et al., 1996). Mutations in BRCA1 or BRCA2 account for the majority of high-risk families in which multiple cases of breast and/or ovarian cancer occur as an autosomal dominant trait. Based on data from 237 families with four or more breast cancer cases occurring before 60 years of age (regardless of ovarian cancer) collected by the international Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium, Ford et al. (1998) estimated that overall 52% of hereditary breast cancer in such families was explained by mutations in the BRCA1 gene, 32% by mutations in the BRCA2 gene, and the remainder by one or more as yet unidentified genes. Genetic heterogeneity appears to be greater among families with breast cancer only, since the

Associated syndrome	Clinical manifestation	Gene		
Hereditary breast/ovarian cancer	Breast cancer, ovarian cancer	BRCA1, BRCA2		
LI-Fraument syndrome	Sarcoma, brain and breast cancer cancer of breast and thyroid	TP53		
Cowden's disease	Multiple hamanomatous lesions of skin, mucous membrane, cancer of breast and thyroid	PTEN/NMAC1		
Peutz-Jeghers syndrome	Melanocytic macules of lips, multiple polyps, tumours of intestinal tract, breast, ovaries etc.	STK11		
Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer	Colorectal cancer, predominantly also tumours of endometrium, ovaries, intestinal tract and breast	MSH2, MLH1, PMS1 PMS2		
Ataxia telangiectasia	Progressive cerebral ataxia, hypersensitivity to radiation, increased cancer risk	ATM		

majority of breast/ovarian cancer families have mutations in BRCA1 (81%) and BRCA2 (14%). compared with only two thirds of families with breast cancer only. On the other hand, familial breast cancer occurring in both males and females is mainly attributed to BRCA2 (76%). More recent studies, using direct mutation screening, have included a broader spectrum of families seen at genetic clinics, with a larger proportion of families having only two or three breast and/or ovarian cancer cases. These studies suggest that BRCA1 mutations are responsible for only 10-20% of breast cancer in such families, with BRCA2 mutations accounting for about half of this fraction (Gayther et al., 1997; Hakansson et al., 1997; Serova Sinilnikova et al., 1997; Struewing et al., 1997). However, up to 45% of families with both breast and ovarian cancer may be associated with mutations in the BRCA1 gene (Gayther et al., 1995; Serova Sinilnikova et al., 1997; Shattuck-Eidens et al., 1995; Couch et al., 1997; Stoppa-Lyonnet et al., 1997; Dong et al., 1998; Frank et al., 1998).

Recent population-based studies of early-onset breast cancer suggest that BRCA1 and BRCA2 may be responsible for equal fractions of early-onset breast cancer and that these two genes together account for only about 6-10% of early-onset breast cancer in the general population (Hopper et al., 1999; Peto et al., 1999). Furthermore, only about 10% of patients with a first-degree family history of breast or ovarian cancer in the general population harbour a germline mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2. Based on mutation screening in ovarian cancer families, the allele frequencies of mutant BRCA1 and BRCA2 alleles have been estimated to be 0.0013 and 0.0017 (Antoniou et al., 2000). This is compatible with the estimate of allele frequency of 0.0033 for dominant breast cancer-predisposing genes from segregation analysis, indicating that these disease-causing alleles are relatively rare in the general population (Claus *et al.*, 1991). On the other hand, in founder populations with recurrent mutations, such as among Ashkenazi Jews and in the Icelandic population, disease allele frequencies can be as high as 2.5% (Struewing et al., 1995; Tonin et al., 1995, 1996; Johannesdottir et al., 1996; Roa et al., 1996; Fodor et al., 1998; Thorlacius et al., 1997).

Detection of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations is considered to be of clinical importance because of

the associated high lifetime risk of disease. Earlier estimates based on large extended pedigrees used for linkage analysis indicated that BRCA1 mutations confer an 87% risk of developing breast cancer by the age of 70 years and a 40-60% risk of developing ovarian cancer (Easton et al., 1994). Affected mutation carriers also have an estimated lifetime risk of 65% of developing a second breast cancer (Ford et al., 1994). The risk for breast cancer was thought to be similar for BRCA2 mutations. whereas the lifetime ovarian cancer risk was estimated to be lower at 27% (Ford et al., 1998). Risk of breast cancer among males is also highly elevated in BRCA2 families. In addition, mutations in both genes confer increased risks for cancers at other sites, such as prostate, pancreas and colon (Ford et al., 1994; Easton et al., 1997).

Population-based studies in Australia as well as in founder populations such as Ashkenazi Jews and the Icelandic population, however, have estimated much lower risks to mutation carriers (Struewing *et al.*, 1997; Levy-Lahad *et al.*, 1997; Thorlacius *et al.*, 1998; Hopper *et al.*, 1999). Lifetime breast cancer risk for female carriers was estimated to be 37% for the *BRCA2* 999del5 mutation in Iceland and 56% for breast cancer risk and 16.5% for ovarian cancer associated with any of the three common *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations among Ashkenazi Jews.

There is substantial variation in the age of onset and the site of cancer occurrence in carriers of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations between as well as within families. This variability is also observed for founder mutations (Levy-Lahad et al., 1997; Thorlacius et al., 1996). Therefore, suggestions that different variants may be associated with different disease severity or may predispose differentially by cancer site cannot be the sole explanations for the variability. BRCA1 and BRCA2 are considered to be tumour-suppressor genes and, therefore, changes in both alleles are required for complete loss of normal gene function. Even in individuals with an inherited mutation in one gene copy, loss or aberration of the normal gene copy later in life will be required for the development of disease. Other factors, both genetic and environmental, may therefore modify cancer risk.

Several other gene loci have been reported to modify the penetrance of *BRCA1* mutations. Mutation carriers harbouring rare 'variable number of tandem repeats' (VNTR) alleles of the *HRAS* 

proto-oncogene have been found to have a 2.1-fold higher risk for ovarian cancer than carriers with only common alleles, but breast cancer risk was not increased (Phelan et al., 1996). Rebbeck et al. (1999) reported that genotypes with long CAG repeats at the androgen receptor gene were associated with earlier age at diagnosis of breast cancer in BRCA1 mutation carriers. A few studies have addressed the question of modifying effects of known reproductive risk factors on the BRCA1associated risk. Some indicate similar effects of reproductive and hormonal risk factors on breast or ovarian cancer risk and others suggest that effects in mutation carriers may be different from those seen in breast and ovarian cancer in the general population (Narod et al., 1995; Chang-Claude et al., 1997; Ursin et al., 1997; Jernstrom et al., 1999). Most of these studies suffer from very small sample size and/or survival bias due to the fact that prevalent cases were used. To appropriately address these questions, an international prospective cohort study among BRCA1/2 mutation carriers is being carried out under the coordination of the International Agency for Research on Cancer, Knowledge gained about risk modifiers in mutation carriers may be useful for refining individual risk estimation and may provide further insight into the pathways of breast cancer tumorigenesis.

Cancer risk, especially breast cancer risk, has been reported in epidemiological studies to be highly increased (4- to 8-fold) in blood relatives (thus obligate heterozygous gene carriers) of patients with the recessive disease ataxia telangiectasia (Swift et al., 1987; Easton et al., 1994). After the cloning of the ATM gene, studies based on mutation screening and haplotype analysis yielded lower estimates of about a threefold increase in the risk for breast cancer among heterozygous carriers (Athma et al., 1997; Janin et al., 1999). In contrast to previous estimates, heterozygosity for germline ATM mutations appears to be rarely observed in unilateral breast cancer in the general population and in families, but may be more prevalent among non-familial early-onset bilateral breast cancer (Vorechovsky et al., 1996; FitzGerald et al., 1997; Chen et al., 1998; Broeks et al., 2000). Most studies have screened for truncating ATM mutations, because missense mutations are technically more difficult to identify, and they may have therefore underestimated the prevalence. Further studies aimed at identifying germline missense mutations and rare allelic variants of the *ATM* gene may provide better estimates of the contribution of *ATM* variants to early-onset breast cancer (Izatt *et al.*, 1999; Vorechovsky *et al.*, 1999).

# Polygenes in the etiology of breast cancer

Genetic variants with low penetrance are unlikely to cause extensive familial aggregation. The association between specific genetic variants and breast cancer risk is studied by comparing the allele frequency or the distribution of genotypes among unrelated cases and unrelated controls with the same genetic background. When using the traditional epidemiological study designs of case-control and cohort studies, particular attention should be paid to the genetic composition of the comparison groups, since a positive association can arise as an artefact of population admixture (Lander & Schork, 1994). To prevent spurious associations, studies could be carried out within relatively homogeneous populations or should use family-based ('internal') controls, such as parents of the affected individuals (Terwilliger & Ott, 1992). Although association studies can be performed for any random DNA polymorphism, the search for etiologically relevant genes is more likely to be rewarding if directed at functionally significant variants in genes known or assumed to be biologically related to the disease of interest.

An increasing number of studies have investigated the relationship between common allele variants and breast cancer risk. Some 20 different genes have been examined, but none of the common alleles studied was clearly shown to modify the risk of breast cancer. Such genes include those involved in steroid hormone metabolism, which may modulate the levels of bioavailable steroid hormones, such as the catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT), aromatase cytochrome P450 genes CYP19, CYP17 (steroid 17a-hydroxylase/17,20 lyase)and CYP1B1 and the steroid hormone receptor genes, such as those for the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and androgen receptor (AR) as well as the vitamin D receptor (VDR). Genes involved in carcinogen metabolism may also modify breast cancer risk. These include genes coding for phase I enzymes such as CYP1A1,

*CYP1A2* and *CYP2D6*, which act on tobacco smoke-associated carcinogens, *CYP2E1* which metabolizes ethanol, as well as genes for phase II enzymes such as the glutathione *S*-transferases  $\mu$ (*GSTM1*),  $\pi$  (*GSTP1*) and  $\theta$  (*GSTT1*), and the *N*acetyltransferases *NAT1* and *NAT2*. In addition, common alleles of high-penetrance genes such as *TP53*, *BRCA1* and *ATM* can affect the integrity of cell-cycle checkpoint and DNA repair and thus modify cancer risk. Table 2 shows examples of genetic polymorphisms studied for association with breast cancer risk. The results of the majority of the studies have been summarized recently by Dunning *et al.* (1999). Statistically significant associations were reported in about a quarter of the 46 studies reviewed, but none was seen in more than one study. This can be explained largely by the lack of power in the majority of the studies. Less than a quarter of the studies had sufficient power to detect a relative risk of 2.5 for a rare allele homozygote if the rare allele frequency was 0.2. Dunning *et al.* (1999) performed a meta-analysis of 17 allele variants in 13 genes in order to obtain more precise estimates. Statistically significant differences in genotype frequencies were found for

Table 2. Examples of genetic polymorphisms studied for association with breast cancer ris						
Gene	Polymorphism	Functional effect	Frequency of risk-associated allele (controls)	Range of risk estimates		
Steroid ho	ormone metabolism g	ienes				
COMT	Val158Met	Reduced activity	0.35-0.52	0.80-2.20		
CYP17	Promoter T→C	May increase transcription	0.38-0.42	0.81–2.52		
CYP19	(TTTA) <sub>10</sub>	Unlikely	0.008-0.02	1.07-4.84		
PR	306 bp insertion in Intron 7	Unlikely	0.130.18	0.77–1.39		
Carcinoge	n metabolism genes					
CYP1A1	lle462Val	Possible increase in enzyme activity	0.04-0.09	0.88		
CYP2D6	A, B, C alleles	Nonfunctioning enzyme	0.04-0.12	0.66-2.09		
CYP2E1	Intron 6	Unlikely	0.08	1.01-1.04		
GSTM1	Gene deletion	No enzyme	0.40-0.51	0.77-2.50		
GSTP1	lle105Val	Reduced activity	0.28	1.58–1.97		
GSTT1	Gene deletion	No enzyme	0.21-0.28	0.63-1.50		
NAT1	A1088T	Possible increased activity	0.17–0.46	1.00-1.20		
NAT2	(several)	Low activity	0.510.62	0,70-2.08		
Other gen	es					
TP53	Arg72Pro	Unknown	0,260.35	1.07-1.47		
	Intron 6 G→A	Unlikely	0.10-0.13	0.28-1.08		
	16 bp insertion in intron 3	Unlikely	0.120.16	0.51–2.08		
BRCA1	Pro871Leu	Unknown	0.37	1.17		
	GIn356Arg	Unknown	0.07	0.88		
ATM	$Pro \rightarrow Arg$	Unknown	0.016	3.0		

a Dunning et al. (1999)

<sup>b</sup> Larson *et al.* (1999)

181

CYP19 (TTTA), polymorphism ((TTTA)<sub>10</sub> carrier odds ratio (OR) = 2.33, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.36-4.17, the GSTP1 Ile105Val polymorphism (Val carrier OR = 1.60, 95% CI = 1.08–2.39) and the TP53 Arg72Pro (Pro carrier OR = 1.27, 95%) CI = 1.02 - 1.59). There was evidence for heterogeneity with the GSTM1 gene deletion, which showed a significant association only for postmenopausal breast cancer (null homozygote OR = 1.33, 95% CI = 1.01-1.76). In addition, some evidence of protection against breast cancer was found for homozygotes of the PR PROGINS allele (OR = 0.41, 95% CI = 0.15-0.95). Table 3 presents some low-penetrance alleles showing significant associations with breast cancer risk in joint analyses.

Some positive associations of allele variants have been found in conjunction with environmental exposures, for example, cigarette smoking and variant alleles of genes encoding carcinogen-metabolizing enzymes, CYP1A1, NAT2, CYP2E1 and GSTM1. Although major effects of variant genotypes were not always found, some interactions with smoking were reported but withinconsistent results across studies (Ambrosone et al., 1996; Shields et al., 1996; Hunter et al., 1997; Kelsey et al., 1997; Ishibe et al., 1998; Millikan et al., 1998). A few other studies have investigated the possibility of gene-gene interaction involving CYP1A1, GSTM1, GSTT1, COMT and CYP17 (Lavigne et al., 1997; Bailey et al., 1998; Helzlsouer et al., 1998; Huang et al., 1999). The interactions reported have yet to be confirmed by other studies (Lavigne et al., 1997; Huang et al., 1999).

Many of the earlier association studies suffer from both small and/or poorly designed samples. Careful consideration for selection of controls was often neglected. While the meta-analysis of published studies by Dunning *et al.* (1999) provides an apparently precise estimate, the inclusion of all studies without consideration for their quality does not necessarily produce a summary estimate which is accurate and relevant, thus limiting the inferences that can be drawn about the role of these gene variants in the etiology of breast cancer. Much larger studies are required to elucidate the complex interplay between many genes and environmental factors.

# Implications for breast cancer risk assessment, management and prevention

With the identification of major genes for inherited breast cancer, it is now possible to screen for germline mutations in high-risk families. When a test result is positive, family members can be identified as carriers or non-carriers based on the germ-line mutation specific to the family. The genetic diagnosis improves risk assessment, since carriers will suffer from a high lifetime cancer risk, while non-carriers have only the population level of risk. Except for certain founder populations, it may at present be appropriate to cite a range of risk estimates for carriers until more data are available to differentiate risk to carriers dependent upon the extent of family history and other modifying factors. In the case of a negative test result, it may be difficult to interpret the finding for an affected woman with high a priori probability of carrying a susceptibility gene. All routinely available tests fail to detect a minimum of 10% of the mutations in both BRCA1 and BRCA2 (Stoppa-Lyonnet et al., 1999). The identification of women at particularly high risk of developing breast cancer will provide a group among whom expensive and rigorous screening programmes are likely to be cost-effective, as well as a cohort of women who may benefit from chemoprevention.

At present, there is still uncertainty about recommendations for management of women with inherited susceptibility to breast cancer, although guidelines have been proposed (Eisinger et al., 1998; Møller et al., 1999a). There are only preliminary data suggesting that increased surveillance will reduce breast cancer mortality through detection of early tumours in high-risk women (Møller et al., 1999b; Macmillan, 2000; Tilanus-Linthorst et al., 2000). The appropriateness of breast and ovarian cancer screening schedules as well as the effectiveness of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the breast need to be evaluated. The question of breast-conserving therapy is also not resolved, in view of the high risk of second primary breast cancer, as well as a possibly higher risk of ipsilateral breast tumour recurrence and increased radiation sensitivity in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers (Robson et al., 1999; Turner et al., 1999).

Gene	Polymorphism	Frequency of risk-as allele in controls	sociated	Risk estimates for carrier	Study size No. cases/No. controls	Reference
CYP19	(TTTA)H <sub>10</sub>	0.018		1.07 (0.35–3.91)	348/145	Siegelmann-Danlell <i>et al.</i> , 1999
		0.008		1.56 (0.59–4.57)	599/433	Healey et al., 2000
		0.005		4.84 (1.87-14.8)	464/619	Haiman <i>et al.</i> , 2000
				2.33 (1.36–4.17)	Combined	Dunning et al., 1999
GSTP1 lle105Val	lle105Val	0.29	heterozygous	1.48 (0.81–2.73)	110/113	Helzisouer et al., 1998
			homozygous	1,97 (0.77–5.02)		
		0.28	heterozygous	1.53 (0.83-2.84)	62/155	Harries et al., 1997
			homozygous	1.58 (0.49-5.06)		
			heterozygous	1.61 (1.10-2.34)	Combined	Dunning et al., 1999
			homozygous	1.83 (0.95–4.48)	Combined	
<i>TP53</i> A	Arg72Pro	0.76		1.10 (0.69–1.75)	107/305	Wang-Gohrke et al., 1998
		0.37		1.47 (1.08-2.00)	212/689	Sjalander et al., 1996
		0.35		1.07 (0.66-1.76)	93/347	Kawajiri et al., 1993
				1.27 (1.02–1.59)	Combined	Dunning et al., 1999
GSTM1 Deleti	Deletion	0.46	postmen	2.50 (1.34-4.65)	110/113	Helzlsouer et al., 1998
		0.50	postmen	1.10 (0.73–1.64)	177/233	Ambrosone et al., 1995
		0.51	≥ 50yr	1.99 (1.19-3.37)	361/437	Charrier et al., 1999
				1.33 (1.01–1.76)	Combined	Dunning et al., 1999
PA	Progins	0.13		0.90 (0.38-2.09)	68/101	Lancaster et al., 1998
		0.14		0.77 (0.50–1.18)	292/220	Manolitsas et al., 1997
		0.18		1.39 (0.7 <del>9</del> -2.45)	187/90	Garrett et al., 1995
			homozygous	0.41 (0.15-0.95)	Combined	Dunning et al., 1999

Preventive options being discussed for highrisk women are prophylactic surgery and chemoprevention. Recent reports from retrospective follow-up and emerging data from prospective follow-up suggest that prophylactic mastectomy and prophylactic oophorectomy can reduce the risk of breast cancer in high-risk women (Evans et al., 1999; Hartmann et al., 1999; Rebbeck et al., 1999). A cohort of high-risk women who underwent prophylactic mastectomy at the Mayo Clinic experienced an 81% reduction in incident breast cancer compared with their sisters (Hartmann et al., 1999). However, long-term prospective follow-up on an extended group of women will be necessary to fully address the risk of subsequent breast cancer and the psychological sequelae. The risk reduction conferred by oophorectomy reported in 122 BRCA1 mutation carriers was 47% and increased with longer duration of follow-up (Rebbeck et al., 1999). The use of compounds that reduce the production of ovarian hormones may provide a non-surgical alternative to prophylactic surgery.

Effective chemoprevention would reduce the need for prophylactic surgery. If mammographic screening is not effective in this high-risk group, chemoprevention will become a higher priority. Tabar et al. (1999) reported that there was no reduction in mortality in women aged 40-49 years with grade 3 ductal carcinoma in the Swedish two-county study. Women with BRCA1 and BRCA2 germline mutations typically present with earlyonset tumours of higher grade (grade 3) (Eisinger et al., 1996; Lakhani et al., 1998). However, if chemoprevention is to be equivalent to (the gold standard of) prophylactic mastectomy, it will be necessary to strive for an 80% reduction. The approximately 50% reduction in the risk of developing breast cancer due to tamoxifen treatment in a trial in the United States (Fisher et al., 1998) was not reproduced in two smaller European trials (Powles et al., 1998; Veronesi et al., 1998). Raloxifene, another selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM), decreased the risk of estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer by 90%, but not estrogen receptor-negative invasive breast cancer (Cummings et al., 1999). However, the issues relating to the use of SERMs as chemopreventive agents will have to include the estrogen receptor-negativity of BRCA1 tumours (Lidereau et al., 2000). The synthetic retinoids are another class of compounds being explored for chemoprevention (IARC, 1999; Lippman & Lotan, 2000). Fenretinide, a synthetic retinoic acid derivative, may decrease the occurrence of a second breast malignancy in premenopausal women (Veronesi *et al.*, 1999).

Chemoprevention is still in its infancy and the efficacy of chemoprevention in this high-risk population is unknown. The efficacy of new as well as existing agents for chemoprevention needs to be carefully assessed in properly designed clinical trials of women with increased risk of breast cancer. In the process, other modifying factors of penetrance in mutation carriers should be accounted for in order to evaluate the true effect of the chemopreventive agents.

Polygenes confer much lower levels of risk and may be relevant for risk assessment when the effects of multiple loci, possibly in conjunction with environmental factors, are understood and quantified. At present, it is unlikely that the genetic information about single polygenes will be clinically relevant for risk assessment and management. However, the knowledge gained on the role of different single polygenes and their interaction with environmental factors may help to direct research efforts towards identifying different pathways in breast cancer carcinogenesis which may be amenable for preventive measures, including chemoprevention.

### References

Ambrosone, C.B., Freudenheim, J.L., Graham, S., Marshall, J.R., Vena, J.E., Brasure, J.R., Laughlin, R., Nemoto, T., Michalek, A.M., Harrington, A., Ford, T.D. & Shields, P.G. (1995) Cytochrome P4501A1 and glutathione S-transferase (M1) genetic polymorphisms and postmenopausal breast cancer risk. *Cancer Res.*, 55, 3483–3485

Ambrosone, C.B., Freudenheim, J.L., Graham, S., Marshall, J.R., Vena, J.E., Brasure, J.R., Michalek, A.M., Laughlin, R., Nemoto, T., Gillenwater, K.A., Harrington, A.M. & Shields, P.G. (1996) Cigarette smoking, N-acetyltransferase 2 genetic polymorphisms, and breast cancer risk. J. Am. Med. Ass., 276, 1494–1501

Antoniou, A.C., Gayther, S.A., Stratton, J.F., Ponder, B.A. & Easton, D.F. (2000) Risk models for familial ovarian and breast cancer. *Genet. Epidemiol.*, 18, 173–190

Athma, P., Rappaport, R. & Swift, M. (1996) Molecular genotyping shows that ataxia-telangiectasia heterozygotes are predisposed to breast cancer. *Cancer Genet. Cytogenet.*, **92**, 130–134

Bailey, L., Roodi, N., Verrier, C.S., Yee, C.J., Dupont, W.D. & Parl, F.F. (1998) Breast cancer and CYPIA1, GSTM1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms: evidence of a lack of association in Caucasians and African Americans. *Cancer Res.*, 58, 65–70

Birch, J.M., Hartley, A.L., Tricker, K.J., Prosser, J., Condie, A., Kelsey, A.M., Harris, M., Jones, P.H., Binchy, A. & Crowther, D. (1994) Prevalence and diversity of constitutional mutations in the pS3 gene among 21 Li-Fraumeni families. *Cancer Res.*, 54, 1298–1304

Broeks, A., Urbanus, J.H., Floore, A.N., Dahler, E.C., Klijn, J.G., Rutgers, E.J., Devilee, P., Russell, N.S., van Leeuwen, F.E. & van't Veer, L.J. (2000) ATM-heterozygous germline mutations contribute to breast cancer-susceptibility. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, 66, 494–500

Chang-Claude, J., Becher, H., Eby, N., Bastert, G., Wahrendorf, J. & Hamann, U. (1997) Modifying effect of reproductive risk factors on the age at onset of breast cancer for German BRCA1 mutation carriers. *J. Cancer. Res. Clin. Oncol.*, 123, 272–279

Charrier, J., Maugard, C.M., Le Mevel, B. & Bignon, Y.J. (1999) Allelotype influence at gluatathione-S-transferase M1 locus on breast cancer susceptibility. *Br. J. Cancer*, **79**, 346–353

Chen, J., Birkholtz, G.G., Lindblom, P., Rubio, C. & Lindblom, A. (1998) The role of ataxia-telangiectasia heterozygotes in familial breast cancer. *Cancer Res.*, **58**, 1376–1379

Claus, E.B., Risch, N. & Thompson, W.D. (1991) Genetic analysis of breast cancer in the cancer and steroid hormone study. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **48**, 232–242

Couch, F.J., Deshano, M.L., Blackwood, M.A., Calzone, K., Stopfer, J., Campeau, L., Ganguly, A., Rebbeck, T. & Weber, B.L. (1997) BRCA1 mutations in women attending clinics that evaluate the risk of breast cancer. *New Engl. J. Med.*, 336,1409–1415

Cummings, S.R., Eckert, S., Krueger, K.A., Grady, D., Powles, T.J., Cauley, J.A., Norton, L., Nickelsen, T., Bjarnason, N.H., Morrow, M., Lippman, M.E., Black, D., Glusman, J.E., Costa, A. & Jordan, V.C. (1999) The effect of raloxifene on risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women: results from the MORE randomized trial. Multiple outcomes of raloxifene evaluation. J. Am. Med. Assoc., 281, 2189–2197

Dong, J., Chang-Claude, J., Wu, Y., Schumacher, V., Debatin, I., Tonin, P. & Royer-Pokora, B. (1998) A high proportion of mutations in the BRCA1 gene in German breast/ovarian cancer families with clustering of mutations in the 3' third of the gene. *Hum. Genet.*, 103, 154–161

Dunning, A.M., Healy, C.S., Pharoah, P.D.P., Teare, M.D., Ponder, B.A. & Teare, M.D. (1999) A systematic review of genetic polymorphisms and breast cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.*, 8, 843–854

Easton, D.F. (1994) Cancer risks in A-T heterozygotes. Int. J. Radiat. Biol., 66, 177–182

Easton, D.F., Steele, L., Fields, P., Ormiston, W., Averill, D., Daly, P.A., McManus, R., Neuhausen, S.L., Ford, D., Wooster, R., Cannon-Albright, L.A., Stratton, M.R. & Goldgar D.E. (1997) Cancer risks in two large breast cancer families linked to BRCA2 on chromosome 13q12-13. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, 61, 120–128

Eisinger, F., Stoppa-Lyonnet, D., Longy, M., Kerangueven, F., Noguchi, T., Bailly, C., Vincent-Salomon, A., Jacquemier, J., Birnbaum, D. & Sobol, H. (1996) Germ line mutation at BRCA1 affects the histoprognostic grade in hereditary breast cancer. *Cancer Res.*, 56, 471–474

Eisinger, F., Alby, N., Bremond, A., Dauplat, J., Espie, M., Janiaud, P., Kuttenn, F., Lebrun, J.P., Lefranc, J.P., Pierret, J., Sobol, H., Stoppa-Lyonnet, D., Thouvenin, D., Tristant, H. & Feingold, J. (1998) Recommendations for medical management of hereditary breast and ovarian cancer: the French National Ad Hoc Committee. *Ann. Oncol.*, 9, 939–950

Evans, D.G., Anderson, E., Lalloo, F., Vasen, H., Beckmann, M., Eccles, D., Hodgson, S., Moller, P., Chang-Claude, J., Morrison, P., Stoppa-Lyonnet, D., Steel, M. & Haites, N. (1999) Utilisation of prophylactic mastectomy in 10 European centres. *Dis. Markers*, 15, 148–151

Fisher, B., Dignam, J., Wolmark, N., DeCillis, A., Emir, B., Wickerham, D.L., Bryant, J., Dimitrov, N.V., Abramson, N., Atkins, J.N., Shibata, H., Deschenes, L. & Margolese, R.G. (1997) Tamoxifen and chemotherapy for lymph node-negative, estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. J. Natl Cancer Inst., 89, 1673–1682

Fitzgerald, M.G., Bean, J.M., Hegde, S.R., Unsal, H., MacDonald, D.J., Harkin, D.P., Finkelstein, D.M., Isselbacher, K.J. & Haber, D.A. (1997) Heterozygous ATM mutations do not contribute to early onset of breast cancer. *Naure Genet.*, **15**, 307–310

Fodor, E.H., Weston, A., Bleiweiss, I.J., McCurdy, L.D., Walsh, M.M., Tartter, P.I., Brower, S.T. & Eng, C.M. (1998) Frequency and carrier risk associated with common BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in Ashkenazi Jewish breast cancer patients. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **63**, 45–51 Ford, D., Easton, D.F., Bishop, D.T., Narod, S.A. & Goldgar, D.E. (1994) Risks of cancer in BRCA1-mutation carriers. Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium. *Lancet*, 343, 692–695

Ford, D., Easton, D.F., Stratton, M., Narod, S., Goldgar, D., Devilee, P., Bishop, D.T., Weber, B., Lenoir, G., Chang-Claude, J., Sobol, H., Teare, M.D., Struewing, J., Arason, A., Scherneck, S., Peto, J., Rebbeck, T.R., Tonin, P., Neuhausen, S., Barkardottir, R., Eyfjord, J., Lynch, H., Ponder, B.A., Gayther, S.A., Birch, J.M., Lindblom, A., Stoppa-Lyonnet, D., Bignon, Y., Borg, A., Hamann, U., Haites, N., Scott, R.J., Maugard, C.M., Vasen, H., Seitz, S., Cannon-Albright, L.A., Schofield, A., Zelada-Hedman, M., & Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium (1998) Genetic heterogeneity and penetrance analysis of the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes in breast cancer families. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, 62, 676–689

Frank, T.S., Manley, S.A., Olopade, O.I., Cummings, S., Garber, J.E., Bernhardt, B., Antman, K., Russo, D., Wood, M.E., Mullineau, L., Isaacs, C., Peshkin, B., Buys, S., Venne, V., Rowłey, P.T., Loader, S., Offit, K., Robson, M., Hampel, H., Brener, D., Winer, E.P., Clark, S., Weber, B., Strong, L.C., Rieger, P., McClure, M., Ward, B.E., Shattuck-Eidens, D., Oliphant, A., Skolnick, M.H. & Thomas, A. (1998) Sequence analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2: correlation of mutations with family history and ovarian cancer risk. J. *Clin. Oncol.*, 16, 2417–2425

Garrett, E., Rowe, S.M., Coughlan, S.J., Horan, R., McLinden, J., Carney, D.N., Fanning, M., Kieback, D.G. & Headon, D.R. (1995) Mendelian inheritance of a Taq I restriction fragment length polymorphism due to an insertion in the human progesterone receptor gene and its allelic imbalance in breast cancer. *Cancer Res.Ther. Control*, 4, 217–222

Gayther, S.A., Warren, W., Mazoyer, S., Russell, P.A., Harrington, P.A., Chiano, M., Seal, S., Hamoudi, R., van Rensburg, E.J., Dunning, A.M., Love R., Evans, G., Easton, D., Clayton, D., Stratton, M.R. & Ponder, B.A.J. (1995) Germline mutations of the BRCA1 gene in breast and ovarian cancer families provide evidence for a genotype-phenotype correlation. *Nature Genet.*, **11**, 428–433

Gayther, S.A., Harrington, P., Russell, P., Kharkevich, G., Garkavtseva, R.F. & Ponder, B.A. (1997) Frequently occurring germ-line mutations of the BRCA1 gene in ovarian cancer families from Russia. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, 60, 1239–1242

Haiman, C.A., Hankinson, S.E., Spiegelman, D., De Vivo I., Colditz, G.A., Willett, W.C., Speizer, F.E. & Hunter, D.J. (2000) A tetranucleotide repeat polymorphism in CYP19 and breast cancer risk. *Int. J. Cancer*, **87**, 204–210 Hakansson, S., Johannsson, O., Johansson, U., Sellberg, G., Loman, N., Gerdes, A.M., Holmberg, E., Dahl, N., Pandis, N., Kristoffersson, U., Olsson, H. & Borg, A. (1997) Moderate frequency of BRCA1 and BRCA2 germline mutations in Scandinavian familial breast cancer. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, 60, 1068–1078

Hartmann, L.C., Schaid, D.J., Woods, J.E., Crotty, T.P., Myers, J.L., Arnold, P.G., Petty, P.M., Sellers, T.A., Johnson, J.L., McDonnell, S.K., Frost, M.H. & Jenkins, R.B. (1999) Efficacy of bilateral prophylactic mastectomy in women with a family history of breast cancer. *New Engl. J. Med.*, 340, 77–84

Harries, L.W., Stubbins, M., Forman, D., Howard, C.W. & Wolf, C.R. (1997) Identification of genetic polymorphisms at the glutathione S-transferase Pilocus and association with susceptibility to bladder, testicular and prostate cancer. *Carcinogenesis*, **18**, 641–644

Healey, C.S., Dunning, A.M., Durocher, F., Teare, D., Pharoah, P.D., Luben, R.N., Easton, D.F. & Ponder, B.A. (2000) Polymorphisms in the human aromatase cytochrome P450 gene (CYP19) and breast cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis*, 21, 189–193

Helzlsouer, K.J., Selmin, O., Huang, H.-Y., Strickland, P.T., Hoffmann, S., Alberg, A.J. Watson, M., Comstock, G.W. & Bell, D. (1998) Association between glutathione S-transferase M1, P1, and genetic polymorphisms and development of breast cancer. J. Natl Cancer Inst., 90, 512–518

Hopper, J.L., Southey, M.C., Dite, G.S., Giles, G.G., McCredie, M., Easton, D.F. & Venter, D.J. (1999) Population-based estimate of the average age-specific cumulative risk of breast cancer for a defined set of protein-truncating mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.*, **8**, 741–747

Huang, C.S., Chern, H.D., Chang, K.J., Cheng, C.W., Hsu, S.M. & Shen, C.Y. (1999) Breast cancer risk associated with genotype polymorphism of the estrogen-metabolizing genes CYP17, CYP1A1, and COMT: a multigenic study on cancer susceptibility. *Cancer Res.*, **59**, 4870–4875

Hunter, D.J., Hankinson, S.E., Hough, H., Gertig, D.M., Garcia-Closas, M., Spiegelman, D., Manson, J.E., Colditz, G.A., Willett, W.C., Speizer, F.E. & Kelsey, K. (1997) A prospective study of NAT2 acetylation genotype, cigarette smoking, and risk of breast cancer. *Carcinogenesis*, 18, 2127–2132

IARC (1999) IARC Handbooks of Cancer Prevention. Volume 4, Retinoids, Lyon, IARC

Ishibe, N., Hankinson, S.E., Colditz, G.A., Spiegelman, D., Willett, W.C., Speizer, F.E., Kelsey, K.T. & Hunter, D.J. (1998) Cigarette smoking cytochrome P450 1A1 polymorphisms, and breast cancer risk in the Nurses' Health Study. *Cancer Res.*, 58, 667–671

Izatt, L., Greenman, J., Hodgson, S., Ellis, D., Watts, S., Scott, G., Jacobs, R.L., Zvelebil, M.J., Mathew, C. & Solomon, E. (1999) Identification of germline missense mutations and rare allelic variants in the ATM gene in early-onset breast cancer. *Genes Chromos. Cancer*, 26, 286–294

Janin, N., Andrieu, N., Ossian, K., Laugé, A., Croquette, M.-F., Griscelli, C., Debré, M., Bressac-de Pailerets, B., Aurias, A. & Stoppa-Lyonnet, D. (1999) Breast cancer risk in ataxia telangiectasia (AT) heterozygotes: haplotype study in French AT families. *Br. J. Cancer*, **80**, 1042–1045

Jenne, D.E., Reimann, H., Nezu, J., Friedel, W., Loff, S., Jeschke, R., Müller, O., Back, W. & Zimmer, M. (1998) Peutz-Jeghers syndrome is caused by mutations in a novel serine threonine kinase. *Nature Genet.*, **18**, 38–43

Jernstrom, H., Lerman, C., Ghadirian, P., Lynch, H.T., Weber, B., Garber, J., Daly, M., Olopade, O.I., Foulkes, W.D., Warner, E., Brunet, J.S. & Narod, S.A. (1999) Pregnancy and risk of early breast cancer in carriers of BRCA1 and BRCA2. *Lancet*, **354**, 1846–1850

Johannesdottir, G., Gudmundsson, J., Bergthorsson, J.T., Arason, A., Agnarsson, B.A., Eiriksdottir, G., Johannsson, O.T., Borg, A., Ingvarsson, S., Easton, D.F., Egilsson, V. & Barkardottir, R.B. (1996) High prevalence of the 999del5 mutation in Icelandic breast and ovarian cancer patients. *Cancer Res.*, 56, 3663–3665

Kelsey, K.T., Hankinson, S.E., Colditz, G.A., Springer, K., Garcia-Closas, M., Spiegelman, D., Manson, J.E., Garland, M., Stampfer, M.J., Willett, W.C., Speizer, F.E. & Hunter, D.J. (1997) Glutathione S-transferase class mu deletion polymorphism and breast cancer: results from prevalent versus incident cases. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.*, 6, 511–515

Lakhani, S.R., Jacquemier, J., Sloane, J.P., Gusterson, B.A., Anderson, T.J., van-de-Vijver, M.J., Farid, L.M., Venter, D., Antoniou, A., Storfer-Isser, A., Smyth, E., Steel, C.M., Haites, N., Scott, R.J., Goldgar, D., Neuhausen, S., Daly, P.A., Ormiston, W., McManus, R., Scherneck, S., Ponder, B.A., Ford, D., Peto, J., Stoppa-Lyonnet, D., Bignon, Y.J., Streuwing, J., Spurr, N.K., Bishop, D., Klijn, J.G.M., Devilee, P., Chang-Claude, J., Sobol, H., Weber, B., Stratton, M. & Easton, D.F. (1998) Multifactorial analysis of differences between sporadic breast cancers and cancers involving BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations. J. Natl Cancer Inst., 90, 1138–1145

Lancaster, J.M., Berchuck, A., Carney, M.E., Wiseman, R. & Taylor, J.A. (1998) Progesterone receptor gene polymorphism and risk for breast and ovarian cancer (Letter to the Editor). *Br. J. Cancer*, **78**, 277

Lander, E.S. & Schork, N.J. (1994) Genetic dissection of complex traits. *Science*, 265, 2037–2048

Larson, G.P., Zhang, G., Ding, S., Foldenauer, K., Udar, N., Gatti, R.A., Neuberg, D., Lunetta, K.L., Ruckdeschel, J.C., Longmate, J., Flanagan, S., Krontiris, T.G. (1997-98) An allelic variant at the ATM locus is implicated in breast cancer susceptibility. *Genet. Test.*, **1**, 165–170

Lavigne, J., Helzlsouer, J., Huang, H.-Y., Stickland, T., Bell, D., Selmin, O., Watson, M., Hoffmann, S., Comstock, G.W. & Yager, J.D. (1997) An association between the allele coding for a low activity variant of catechol-O-methyltransferase and the risk for breast cancer. *Cancer Res.*, **57**, 5493–5497

Levy Lahad, E., Catane, R., Eisenberg, S., Kaufman, B., Hornreich, G., Lishinsky, E., Shohat, M., Weber, B.L., Beller, U., Lahad, A. & Halle, D. (1997) Founder BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in Ashkenazi Jews in Israel: frequency and differential penetrance in ovarian cancer and in breast-ovarian cancer families. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **60**, 1059–1067

Li, F.P., Fraumeni, J.F., Jr, Mulvihill, J.J., Blattner, W.A., Dreyfus, M.G., Tucker, M.A. & Miller, R.W. (1988) A cancer family syndrome in twenty-four kindreds. *Cancer Res.*, **48**, 5358–5362

Li, J., Yen, C., Liaw, D., Podsymanina, K., Bose, S., Wang, S.I., Puc, J., Miliaresis, C. Rodgers, L., McCombie, R., Bigner, S.H., Giovanella B.C., Ittmann, M., Tycko, B., Hibshoosh, H., Wigler, M.H. & Parsons R. (1997) PTEN, a putative protein typrosine phosphatase gene mutated in human brain, breast and prostate cancer. *Science*, **275**, 1943–1947

Lidercau, R., Eisinger, F., Champeme, M.H., Nogues, C., Bieche, I., Birnbaum, D., Pallud, C., Jacquemier, J. & Sobol, H. (2000) Major improvement in the efficacy of BRCA1 mutation screening using morphoclinical features of breast cancer. *Cancer Res.*, **60**, 1206–1210

Lippman, S.M. & Lotan, R. (2000) Advances in the development of retinoids as chemopreventive agents. *J. Nutr.*, **130** (25 Suppl.), 479S–482S

Macmillan, R.D. (2000) Screening women with a family history of breast cancer – results from the British Familial Breast Cancer Group. *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol.*, 2, 149–152

Malkin, D., Li, F.P., Strong, L.C., Fraumeni, J.F., Jr, Nelson, C.E., Kim, D.H., Kassel, J., Gryka, M.A., Bischoff, F.Z. & Tainsky, M.A. (1990) Germ line p53 mutations in a familial syndrome of breast cancer, sarcomas, and other neoplasms. *Science*, **250**, 1233–1238

Manolitsas, T.P., Englefield, P., Eccles, D.M. & Campbell, I.G. (1997) No association of a 306-bp insertion polymorphism in the progesterone receptor gene with ovarian and breast cancer. *Br. J. Cancer*, 75, 1398–1399 Miki, Y., Swensen, J., Shattuck-Eidens, D., Futreal, A., Harshman, K., Tavtigian, S. Liu, Q., Cochran, C., Bennett, L.M., Ding, W., Bell, R., Rosenthal, J., Hussey, C. Tran, T., McClure, M., Frye, C., Hattier, T., Phelps, R., Haugen-Strano, A., Katcher, H., Yakumo, K., Gholami, Z., Shaffer, D., Stone, S., Bayer, S., Wray, C., Bogden, R., Dayananth, P., Ward, J., Tonin, P., Narod, S., Bristow, P.K., Norris, F.H., Helvering, L., Morrison, P., Rosteck, P., Lai, M., Barrett, J.C., Lewis, C., Neuhausen, S., Cannon-Albright, L., Goldgar, D., Wiseman, R., Kamb, A. & Skolnick, M.H. (1994) A strong candidate gene for the breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility gene BRCA1. *Science*, **266**, **66**–71

Millikan, R.C., Pittman, G.S., Tse, C.K., Duell, E., Newman, B., Saitz, D., Moorman, P.G., Boissy, R.J. & Bell, D.A. (1998) Catechol-O-methyltransferase and breast cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis*, 19, 1943–1947

Møller, P., Evans, G., Haites, N., Vasen, H., Reis, M., Anderson, E., Apold, J., Hodgson, S., Eccles, D., Olsson, H., Stoppa-Lyonnet, D., Chang-Claude, J., Morrison, P.J., Bevilacqua, G., Heimdal, K., Maehle, L., Lalloo, F., Gregory, H. Preece, P., Borg, A., Nevin, N.C., Caligo, M. & Steel, C.M. (1999a) Guidelines for follow-up of women at high risk for inherited breast cancer: consensus statement from the Biomed 2 Demonstration Programme on Inherited Breast Cancer. *Dis. Markers*, 15, 207–211

Møller, P., Reis, M.M., Evans, G., Vasen, H., Haites, N., Anderson, E., Steel, C.M., Apold, J., Lalloo, F., Maehle, L., Preece, P., Gregory, H. & Heimdal, K. (1999b) Efficacy of early diagnosis and treatment in women with a family history of breast cancer. European Familial Breast Cancer Collaborative Group. *Dis. Markers*, **15**, 179–186

Narod, S.A., Ford, D., Devilee, P., Barkadottir, R.B., Lynch, H.T., Smith, S.A., Ponder, B.A.J., Weber, B.L., Garber, J.E., Birch, J.M., Cornelis, R.S., Kelsell, D.P., Spurr, N.K., Smyth, E., Haites, N., Sobol, H., Bignon, Y.-J., Chang-Claude, J., Hamann, U., Lindblom, A., Borg, A., Piver, M.S., Gallion, H.H., Struewing, J.P., Whittemore, A., Tonin, P., Goldgar, D.E., Easton, D.F. & Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium (1995) An evaluation of genetic heterogeneity in 145 breast-ovarian cancer families. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, 56, 254–264

Peto, J., Collins, N., Barfoot, R., Seal, S., Warren, W., Rahman, N., Easton, D.F., Evans, C., Deacon, J. & Stratton, M.R. (1999) Prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations in patients with early-onset breast cancer. J. Natl Cancer Inst., 11, 943–949

Phelan, C.M., Rebbeck, T.R., Weber, B.L., Devilee, P., Ruttledge, M.H., Lynch, H.T., Lenoir, G.M., Stratton, M.R., Easton, D.F., Ponder, B.A., Cannon Albright, L., Larsson, C., Goldgar, D.E. & Narod, S.A. (1996) Ovarian cancer risk in BRCA1 carriers is modified by the HRAS1 variable number of tandem repeat (VNTR) locus. Nature Genet., 3, 309-311

Powles, T., Eeles, R., Ashley, S., Easton, D., Chang, J., Dowsett, M., Tidy, A., Viggers, J. & Davey, J. (1998) Interim analysis of the incidence of breast cancer in the Royal Marsden Hospital tamoxifen randomised chemoprevention trial. *Lancet*, **352**, 98–101

Rebbeck, T.R., Levin, A.M., Eisen, A., Snyder, C., Watson, P., Cannon-Albright, L., Isaacs, C., Olopade, O., Garber, J.E., Godwin, A.K., Dały, M.B., Narod, S.A., Neuhausen, S.L., Lynch, H.T. & Weber, B.L. (1999) Breast cancer risk after bilateral prophylactic oophorectomy in BRCA1 mutation carriers. *J. Natl Cancer Inst.*, **17**, 1475–1479

Rebbeck, T.R., Kantoff, P.W., Krithivas, K., Neuhausen, S., Blackwood, A.M., Godwin, A., Daly, M.B., Narod, S.A., Garber, J.E., Lynch, H.A.T., Weber, B.L. & Brown, M. (1999) Modification of BRCA1-associated breast cancer rlsk by the polymorphic androgen-receptor CAG repeat. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **64**, 1371–1377

Roa, B.B., Boyd, A.A., Volcik, K. & Richards, C.S. (1996) Ashkenazi Jewish population frequencies for common mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. *Nature Genet.*, 2, 185–187

Robson, M., Levin, D., Federici, M., Satagopan, J., Bogolminy, F., Heerdt, A., Borgen, P., McCormick, B., Hudis, C., Norton, L., Boyd, J. & Offit K. (1999) Breast conservation therapy for invasive breast cancer in Ashkenazi women with BRCA gene founder mutations. J. Natl Cancer Inst., 91, 2112–2117

Serova Sinilnikova, O.M., Boutrand, L., Stoppa Lyonnet, D., Bressac de Paillerets, B., Dubois, V., Lasset, C., Janin, N., Bignon, Y.J., Longy, M., Maugard, C., Lidereau, R., Leroux, D., Frebourg, T., Mazoyer, S. & Lenolr, G.M. (1997) BRCA2 mutations in hereditary breast and ovarian cancer in France [letter]. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, 5, 1236–1239

Schmidt, S., Becher, H. & Chang-Claude, J. (1998) Breast cancer risk assessment: Use of complete pedigree information and the effect of misspecified ages at diagnosis of affected relatives. *Hum. Genet.*, 102, 348–356

Shattuck-Eidens, D., McClure, M., Simard, J., Labrie, F., Narod, S., Couch, F., Hoskins, K. Weber, B., Castilla, L., Erdos, M., Brody, L., Friedman, L., Ostermeyer, E., Szabo, -C., King, M.-C., Jhanwar, S., Offit, K., Norton, L., Gilewski, T., Lubin, M., Osborne, M., Black, D., Boyd, M., Steel, M., Ingles, S., Haile, R., Lindblom, A., Oisson, H., Borg, A., Bishop, D.T., Solomon, E., Radice, P., Spatti, G., Gayther, S., Ponder, B., Warren, W., Stratton, M., Liu, Q., Fujimura, F., Lewis, C., Skolnick, M.H. & Goldgar, D.E. (1995) A collaborative survey of 80 mutations in the BRCA1 breast and ovarian cancer suscptibility gene. J. Am. Med. Assoc., 273, 535–541 Shields, P.G., Ambrosone, C.B., Saxon, G., Bowman, Elise, D., Harrington, A.M., Gillenwater, K.A., Marshall, J.P., Vena, J.E., Laughlin, R., Nemoto, T. & Feudenheim, J.L. (1996) A cytochrome P4502£1 genetic polymorphism and tobacco smoking in breast cancer. *Mol. Carcinog.*, 17, 144–150

Siegelmann-Danieli, N. & Buetow, K.H. (1999) Constitutional genetic variation at the human aromatase gene (Cyp19) and breast cancer risk. *Br. J. Cancer*, **79**, 456–463

Sjalander, A., Birgander, R., Hallmans, G., Cajander, S., Lenner, P., Athlin, L., Beckman, G. & Beckman, L. (1996) p53 polymorphisms and haplotypes in breast cancer. *Carcinogenesis*, 17, 1313–1316

Spigelman, A.D., Murday, V. & Phillips, R.K. (1989) Cancer and the Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. *Gut*, 11, 1588–1590

Stoppa-Lyonnet, D., Laurent-Puig, P., Essioux, L., Pages, S., Ithier, G., Ligot, L., Fourquet, A., Salmon, R.J., Clough, K.B., Pouillart, P., Bonaiti Pellie, C. & Thomas, G. (1997) BRCA1 sequence variations in 160 individuals referred to a breast/ovarian family cancer clinic. Institut Curie Breast Cancer Group. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **60**, 1021–1030

Stoppa-Lyonnet, D., Caligo, M., Eccles, D., Evans, D.G., Haites, N.E., Hodgson, N.S., Moller, P., Morrison, P.J., Steel, C.M., Vasen, H.F. & Chang-Claude, J. (1999) Genetic testing for breast cancer predisposition in 1999: which molecular strategy and which family criteria? *Disease Markers*, **15**, 67–68

Struewing, J.P., Abeliovich, D., Peretz, T., Avishai, N., Kaback, M.M., Collins, F.S. & Brody L.C. (1995) The carrier frequency of the BRCA1 185delAG mutation is approximately 1 percent in Ashkenazi Jewish individuals. *Nature Genet.*, **11**, 198–200

Struewing, J.P., Hartge, P., Wacholder, S., Baker, S.M., Berlin, M., McAdams, M., Timmerman, M.M., Brody, L.C. & Tucker, M.A. (1997) The risk of cancer associated with specific mutations of BRCA1 and BRCA2 among Ashkenazi Jews. *New Engl. J. Med.*, 336, 1401–1408

Swift, M., Reitnauer, P.J., Morrell, D. & Chase, C.L. (1987) Breast and other cancers in families with ataxia-telangiectasia. *New Engl. J. Med.*, **316**, 1289–1294

Tabar, L., Duffy, S.W., Vitak, B., Chen, H.H. & Prevost, T.C. (1999) The natural history of breast carcinoma: what have we learned from screening? *Cancer*, **86**, 449–462

Tavtigian, S.V., Simard, J., Rommens, J., Couch, F., Shattuck-Eidens, D., Neuhausen, S., Merajver, S., Thorlacius, S., Offit, K., Stoppa-Lyonnet, D., Belanger, C., Bell, R., Berry, S., Bogden, R., Chen, Q., Davis, T., Dumont, M., Frye, C., Hattier, T., Jammulapati, S., Janecki, T., Jiang, P., Kehrer, R., Leblanc, J.F., Mitchell, J.T., McArthur-Morrison, J., Nguyen, K., Peng, Y., Samson, C., Schroeder, M., Snyder, S.C., Steele, L., Stringfellow, M., Stroup, C., Swedlund, B., Swensen, J., Ten, D., Thomas, A., Tran, T., Tranchant, M., Weaver-Feldhaus, J., Wong, A.K.C., Shizuya, H., Eyfjord, J.E., Cannon-Albright, L., Labrie, F., Skolnick, M.H., Weber, B., Kamb, A. & Goldgar, D.E. (1996) The complete BRCA2 gene and mutations in chromosome 13q-linked kindreds. *Nature Genet.*, **12**, 333–337

Terwilliger, J.D. & Ott, J. (1992) A haplotype-based 'haplotype relative risk' approach to detecting allelic associations. *Hum. Hered.*, **42**, 337–346

Thorlacius, S., Olafsdottir, G., Tryggvadottir, L., Neuhausen, S., Jonasson, J.G., Tavtigian, S.V., Tulinius, H., Ogmundsdottir, H.M. & Eyfjord, J.E. (1996) A single BRCA2 mutation in male and female breast cancer families from Iceland with varied cancer phenotypes. *Nature Genet.*, 13, 117–119

Thorlacius, S., Sigurdsson, S., Bjarnadottir, H., Olafsdottir, G., Johasson, J.G., Tryggvadottir, L., Tulinius, H. & Eyfjord, J.E. (1997) Study of a single BRCA2 mutation with high carrier frequency in a small population. *Am. J. Hum. Genet*, **60**, 1079–**1084** 

Thorlacius, S., Struewing, J.P., Hartge, P., Olafsdottir, G.H., Sigvaldason, H., Tryggvadottir, L., Wacholder, S., Tulinius, H. & Eyfjord, J.E. (1998) Population-based study of risk of breast cancer in carriers of BRCA2 mutation. *Lancet*, **352**, 1337–1339

Tilanus-Linthorst, M.M., Bartels, C.C., Obdeijn, A.I. & Oudkerk, M. (2000) Earlier detection of breast cancer by surveillance of women at familial risk. *Eur. J. Cancer*, **36**, 514–519

Tonin, P., Serova, O., Lenoir, G., Lynch, H., Durocher, F., Simard, J., Morgan, K. & Narod, S. (1995) BRCA1 mutations in Ashkenazi Jewish women. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, 57, 189

Tonin, P., Weber, B., Offit, K., Couch, F., Rebbeck, T.R., Neuhausen, S., Godwin, A.K., Daly, M., Wagner-Costalos, J., Berman, D., Grana, G., Fox, E., Kane, M.F., Kolodner, R.D., Krainer, M., Haber, D.A., Struewing, J.P., Warner, E., Rosen, B., Lerman, C., Peshkin, B., Norton, L., Serova, O., Foulkes, W.D., Lynch, H.T., Lenoir, G.M., Narod, S.A. & Garber, J.E. (1996) Frequency of recurrent BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in Ashkenazi Jewish breast cancer families. *Nature Med.*, **11**, 1179–1183

Turner, B.C., Harrold, E., Matioff, E., Smith, T., Gumbs, A.A., Beinfield, M., Ward, B., Skolnick, M., Glazer, P.M., Thomas, A. & Haffty, B.G. (1999) BRCA1/BRCA2 germline mutations in locally recurrent breast cancer patients after lumpectomy and radiation therapy: implications for breast-conserving management in patients with BRCA1/BRCA2 mutations. J. Clin Oncol., **17**, 3017–3024

Ursin, G., Henderson, B.E., Haile, R.W., Pike, M.C., Zhou, N., Diep, A. & Bernstein, L. (1997) Does oral contraceptive use increase the risk of breast cancer in women with BRCA1/BRCA2 mutations more than in other women? *Cancer Res.*, **57**, 3678–3681

Veronesi, U., Maisonneuve, P., Costa, A., Sacchini, V., Maltoni, C., Robertson, C., Rotmensz, N. & Boyle, P. (1998) Prevention of breast cancer with tamoxifen: preliminary findings from the Italian randomised trial among hysterectomised women. Italian Tamoxifen Prevention Study. *Lancet*, **352**, 93–97

Veronesi, U., De Palo, G., Marubini, E., Costa, A., Formelli, F., Mariani, L., Decensi, A., Camerini, T., Del Turco, M.R., Di Mauro, M.G., Muraca, M.G., Del Vecchio, M., Pinto, C., D'Aiuto, G., Boni, C., Campa, T., Magni, A., Miceli, R., Perloff, M., Malone, W.F. & Sporn, M.B. (1999) Randomized trial of fenretinide to prevent second breast malignancy in women with early breast cancer. J. Natl Cancer Inst., **91**, 1847–1856

Vorechovsky, I., Rasio, D., Luo, L., Monaco, C., Hammarstrom, L., Webster, A.D., Zaloudik, J., Barbanti-Brodani, G., James, M., Russo, G., Croce, C.M. & Negrini, M. (1996) The ATM gene and susceptibility to breast cancer: analysis of 38 breast tumors reveals no evidence for mutation. *Cancer Res.*, 56, 2726–2732

Corresponding author:

J. Chang-Claude Division of Clinical Epidemiology, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum, Im Neuenheimer Feld 280, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany Vorechovsky, I., Luo, L., Ortmann, E., Steinmann, D. & Dork, T. (1999) Missense mutations at ATM gene and cancer risk. *Lancet*, **353**, 1276

Wang-Gohrke, S., Rebbeck, T.R., Besenfelder, W., Kreienberg, R. & Runnebaum, I.B. (1998) P53 germline polymorphisms are associated with an increased risk for breast cancer in German women. *Anticancer Res.*, 18, 2095–2099

Wooster, R., Bignell, G., Lancaster, J., Swift, S., Seal, S., Mangion, J., Collins, N., Gregory, S., Gumbs, C., Micklen, G., Barfoot, R., Hamoudi, R., Patel, S., Rice, C., Biggs, P., Hashin, Y., Smith, A., Connor, F., Ararson, A., Gudmundsson, J., Ficenec, D., Kelsell, D., Ford, D., Tonin, P., Bishop, D.T., Spurr, N.K., Ponder, B.A.J., Eeles, R., Peto, J., Devilee, P., Cornelisse, C., Lynch, H., Narod, S., Lenoir, G., Eglisson, V., Barkadottir, R.B., Easton, D.F., Bentley, D.R., Futreal, P.A., Ashworth, A. & Stratton, M.R. (1995) Identification of the breast cancer susceptibility gene BRCA2. *Nature*, **378**, 789–792