Reference, location enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Mettlin (1989)Cases:Baffalo, US569; histologically confirmed1982–1987lung cancer cases at RoswellCase-controlPark Memorial Institute(355 men and 214 women),35–90 years, with completedquestionnaireControls:569; 1:1 matched withinstrata of age, sex, andresidence.Exposure assessmentmethod:		Lung	All coffee (cups/day)			Sex, smoking history, β-carotene intake	Strengths: the matching and use of control
		Coffee intake	569	-	index, education level	variables relatively accurate.	
		Never	133	1		Limitations: hospital-	
	questionnaire		< 1/day	99	1.01 (0.67–1.51)		based, one-centre, residual confounding
		2-3/day	162	0.94 (0.65–1.37)			
	residence.		4+ /day	175	1.26 (0.86–1.84)		
		Lung	All coffee (cups/day)			Sex, smoking history, β-carotene intake index, education level	
			Decaffeinated coffee intake	569	-		
			Never	348	1		
			< 1/day	110	0.66 (0.47-0.92)		
			2-3/day	61	0.44 (0.29–0.66)		
			4+ /day	50	0.79 (0.47–1.31)		
Restrepo et al.	Cases:	Lung	Coffee (cups/day)			Age, sex,	Strengths: use of
(1989) Colombia	102; newly diagnosed lung cancer cases, identified		0	NR	1	socioeconomic level, number of cigarettes smoked per day, alcohol consumption	compulsory government- sponsored health
	through social security cancer registry, two general		1–3	NR	1.45		insurance programme that provides a favourable setting to access to patient materials, availability of interviewers, coverage of
	hospitals and several private physicians. Controls: 181; matched to each case by	nospitals and several private	4-6	NR	0.58		
Ĩ		Controls:	≥7	NR	1.11		

Reference, location enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
	sex, age within 2–3 years, and socioeconomic status. Exposure assessment method: other; personal interview		Trend-test p-value: 0.67				well-defined population, and provision of information of occupational factors. Limitations: hospital- based, case-control design
Chen et al. (1990) Taipei metropolitan	Cases: 323; 133 epidermoid	Lung (Squamous cell carcinoma)	All types of coffee			Age and sex	Coffee drinking was not significantly associated
area, Taiwan, China	Taiwan, China(squamous cell) carcinomas,of enrolment47 small cell carcinomas, 134ovided.adenocarcinomas, 9 other	cen caremonia)	No	NR	1		with any pathological
Year of enrolment not provided.			Yes	NR	2.1		type of lung cancer after cigarette smoking was
Case-control		Lung (Small	All types of coffee			Age and sex	adjusted.
		cell/Oat cell)	No	NR	1	Age and sex	Strengths: Analysis by pathological subtype. Limitations: hospital- based, 95%CI not provided
	matched with case on		Yes	NR	1.44		
	hospital, age and sex were recruited from ophthalmic	Lung	All types of coffee				
	patients of study hospitals	(Adenocarcinoma)	No	NR	1		
	with a control to case ratio of 3:1.		Yes	NR	1.25		
Exposu methoc questio using a	Exposure assessment method: questionnaire; interview using a structured questionnaire						
Mendilaharsu et al.	Cases:	Lung	All coffee (cups)			Age, residence,	Strengths: men only
(1998)427; primary lung cancerUruguaycases1994–1996Controls:Case-control428; hospitalized controls		Non-drinkers	150	1	urban/rural status, tobacco smoking	Limitations: hospital- based case-control	
	428; hospitalized controls	ons unrelated	Ever drinkers	277	1.11 (0.72–1.73)	foods, desert, all	Residual confounding due to incomplete control
	having conditions unrelated to tobacco smoking and diet,		1 cup/week	43	1.32 (0.75–2.33)		of tobacco smoking Possibility of differential

Reference, location enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
	frequency matched to age and residence.		2–3 cups/week	50	0.88 (0.49–1.55)	mate intake, caffeine index	misclassification of exposure, due to
	Exposure assessment method:		1 cup/day	80	1.2 (0.6–2.41)		preclinical disease
	Questionnaire; face-to-face interview using questionnaire		2 or more cups/day	104	1.22 (0.53–2.8)		
			Trend-test p-value: 0.46				
		Lung: Kreyberg I	All coffee (cups)			Age, residence, urban/rural status, tobacco smoking (pack-years), total	
		tumours (Squamous cell and small cell	Non-drinkers	90	1		
		carcinoma)	Ever drinkers	161	1.11 (0.66–1.85)	energy intake, dairy foods, desert, all	
			1 cup/week	25	1.36 (0.71–2.62)	vegetables and fruits, mate intake, caffeine index	
			2–3 cups/week	29	0.87 (0.45–1.69)		
			1 cup/day	50	1.11 (0.49–2.52)		
			2 or more cups/day	71	1.06 (0.4–2.81)		
			Trend-test p-value: 0.72				
Kubík et al. (2001) Czech	Cases: 282; microscopically	Lung	Coffee intake frequency			Age, residence, education, pack-years	Strengths: stratified analysis by histology
1998–1999 confirmed female primary Case- control lung cancer cases Controls: 1120; spouses, relatives, or friends of other patients of the hospital, with conditions unrelated to smoking 0	confirmed female primary		Never	NR	1	of smoking	provided.
		Daily or several time per week	NR	0.66 (0.45–0.97)		Limitations: hospital- based	

Table 2.14 Case-control studies	(hospital-based) on cancer of	f the lung and coffee drinking	(web only)

Exposure assessment method: Lung: Squamous, Small and Large cell carcinoma Coffee intake frequency Age, residence, education, pack-yo of smoking other; in-person interview Small and Large cell carcinoma Never NR 1 of smoking Daily or several time per week NR 0.62 (0.35–1.05) Age, residence, education, pack-yo of smoking Lung: Coffee intake frequency adenocarcinoma and Never NR 1 Age, residence, education, pack-yo of smoking	
cell carcinoma Never NR 1 of smoking Daily or several time per week NR 0.62 (0.35–1.05) Lung: adenocarcinoma Coffee intake frequency adenocarcinoma Age, residence, education, pack-y	
week Lung: Coffee intake frequency adenocarcinoma Age, residence, education, pack-y	ears
adenocarcinoma education, pack-y	ears
	'ears
und of binoming	
Bronchioalveolar Daily or several time per NR 0.58 (0.35–0.99) week	
Takezaki et al.Cases:LungCoffee (cups/day)Age, year and sea(2001)1045 (748 male, 297 female);(Adenocarcinoma)hospital visit,	son of Strengths: large scale Limitations: selection
Nagoya, Japan lung cancer cases, Men 367 - occupation, lung	bias since controls were
1988–1997histologically confirmed, diseases, smoking case-control<1 cup/dayNR1diseases, smoking consumption of g	reen cancer hospital
Controls: 4153 (2964 male, 1189 1 NR 0.85 (0.61–1.19) vegetables and m	eat outpatients
female); cancer-free 2 NR 0.87 (0.6–1.25)	
sex and age (within 5 years) Exposure assessment ≥ 3 NR1.18 (0.8–1.74)	
method: questionnaire Trend-test p-value: 0.654	
Lung (Squamous cell carcinoma)Coffee (cups/day)Age, year and sea hospital visit,	ison of
Men 381 - occupation, lung diseases, smoking	
< 1 cup/day NR 1 consumption of g	reen
1 NR 0.98 (0.7–1.37) vegetables and m	eat
2 NR 1.15 (0.8–1.64)	

Reference, location enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled Comments
			≥3	NR	1.61 (1.09–2.39)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.027			
		Lung	Coffee (cups/day)			Age, year and season of
		(Adenocarcinoma)	Women	240	-	hospital visit, occupation, lung
			< 1 cup/day	NR	1	diseases, smoking, passive smoking from
			1	NR	0.76 (0.51–1.31)	husband, consumption of green vegetables and
			2	NR	0.82 (0.49–1.35)	meat
			≥3	NR	1.28 (0.65–2.54)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.823			
		Lung (Squamous	Coffee (cups/day)			Age, year and season of
		cell carcinoma)	Women	57	-	hospital visit, occupation, lung
			< 1 cup/day	NR	1	diseases, smoking, passive smoking from
			1	NR	0.96 (0.43–2.18)	husband, consumption of green vegetables and
			2	NR	0.61 (0.21–1.78)	meat
			≥ 3	NR	0.28 (0.05–1.58)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.142			

Reference, location enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Kubík et al. (2004b)		Lung	All subjects	435	-	Age, residence,	Strengths: stratified
1998–2002lung cancer casesCase-controlControls: 1710; spouses, relatives, or friends of or patients of the hospital, conditions unrelated to smoking Exposure assessment			Never	50	1	education, pack-years of smoking	analysis by smoking provided.
	relatives, or friends of other		Monthly or weekly	35	0.95 (0.55–1.84)		Limitations: hospital- based
	patients of the hospital, with conditions unrelated to	h	Daily	350	0.8 (0.55–1.17)		
	e		Trend-test p-value: 0.201				
	method: other; in-person		Non-smokers	124	-	Age, residence,	
			Never	20	1	education	
			Monthly or weekly	18	1.05 (0.51–2.14)		
			Daily	86	0.9 (0.52–1.56)		
		Lung	Trend-test p-value: 0.631			Age, residence, education, pack-years	
			Smokers	280	-		
			Never	27	1	of smoking	
			Monthly or weekly	15	0.6 (0.22–1.62)		
			Daily	238	0.47 (0.25–0.88)		
Kubík et al. (2004a) Czech	Cases: 419; microscopically confirmed female primary	Lung	Coffee intake frequency			Age, residence,	Strengths: stratified analysis by smoking
1998–2002	lung cancer cases		Nonsmokers	91	-	education	provided.
rel pat coi	Controls: 1593; spouses, relatives, or friends of other		Never	NR	1		Limitations: hospital- based
	patients of the hospital, with conditions unrelated to smoking		Daily or several time per week	NR	0.9 (0.59–1.38)		

Reference, location enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
	Exposure assessment method: other; in-person interview		Smokers	246	-		
			Never	NR	1		
			Daily or several time per week	NR	0.56 (0.34–0.91)		
Baker et al. (2005)	05) Cases: 993 (624 male and 369	8	Regular coffee	993	-	Sex, age, smoking	Strengths: smoking status matched. Analysis by
1982–1998female); current and former		None	201	1	status, known occupational exposure	histology. Separate analysis	
Case-control	incident lung cancer Controls: 986 (619 male and 367 female); hospital controls with non-neoplastic		≤1	157	1.01 (0.67–1.51)	to other kinds of dust, known occupational exposure to smoke, number of cigarettes smoked per day, interaction between smoke exposure and cigarettes. (regular coffee), sex, age, smoking status (decaffeinated coffee)	between regular and decaffeinated coffee. Limitations: single centre, hospital-based
		86 (619 male and 3672emale); hospital controls4with non-neoplastic4onditions, frequency4natched (1:1) by age-, sex-,1	2–3	293	0.94 (0.65–1.37)		
			4+	321	1.26 (0.86–1.84)		
	matched (1:1) by age-, sex-,		Decaffeinated coffee	993	-		
	and smoking status. Exposure assessment		None	564	1		
	method: Questionnaire; Patient Epidemiology Data System (PEDS) questionnaire as part of the admission process		≤1	198	0.67 (0.54–0.84)		
			2+	190	0.64 (0.51–0.8)		
		Lung	Regular coffee	120	-	Sex, age, smoking	
		(Adenocarcinoma)	None	36	1	status, known occupational exposure	
			≤ 1	23	0.9 (0.45–1.81)	to other kinds of dust, known occupational exposure to smoke, number of cigarettes smoked per day, interaction between	
			2–3	23	0.6 (0.3–1.21)		
			4+	36	1.66 (0.9–3.04)		

Reference, location enrolment/ follow-up period, atudy design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled Co	omments
			Decaffeinated coffee	120	-	smoke exposure and cigarettes. (regular	
			None	65	1	coffee), sex, age, smoking status	
			≤1	24	0.66 (0.4–1.08)	(decaffeinated coffee)	
			2+	26	0.72 (0.44–1.17)		
		Lung: Large Cell	Regular coffee	170	-	Sex, age, smoking	
		Carcinoma	None	27	1	status, known occupational exposure	
			≤1	23	1.12 (0.55-2.26)	to other kinds of dust,	
			2–3	54	1.44 (0.78–2.66)	known occupational exposure to smoke,	
			4+	60	1.82 (1-3.29)	number of cigarettes	
			Decaffeinated coffee	170	-	smoked per day, interaction between	
			None	97	1	smoke exposure and cigarettes. (regular	
			≤1	30	0.61 (0.39-0.95)	coffee), sex, age,	
			2+	32	0.64 (0.42–0.99)	smoking status (decaffeinated coffee)	
		Lung (Small cell/Oat cell)	Regular coffee	186	-	Sex, age, smoking status, known	
		cen/Gat cen)	None	38	1	occupational exposure	
			≤ 1	21	0.67 (0.33–1.37)	to other kinds of dust, known occupational exposure to smoke,	
			2–3	54	1.51 (0.88–2.6)	number of cigarettes smoked per day,	
			4+	68	1.48 (0.86–2.53)	interaction between smoke exposure and	
			Decaffeinated coffee	186	_	cigarettes. (regular	

Reference, location enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
			None	109	1	coffee), sex, age, smoking status	
			≤1	35	0.63 (0.41–0.96)	(decaffeinated coffee)	
			2+	33	0.6 (0.4–0.92)		
		Lung (Squamous cell carcinoma)	Regular coffee	366	-	Sex, age, smoking status, known	
		cen caremonia)	None	70	1	occupational exposure	
			≤1	70	1.12 (0.7–1.8)	to other kinds of dust, known occupational	
			2–3	101	1.28 (0.84–1.97)	exposure to smoke, number of cigarettes smoked per day, interaction between	
			4+	119	1.61 (1.05–2.47)		
			Decaffeinated coffee	366	-	smoke exposure and cigarettes. (regular	
			None	211	1	coffee), sex, age, smoking status	
			≤ 1	76	0.69 (0.51–0.94)	(decaffeinated coffee)	
			2+	69	0.61 (0.44–0.83)		
Kubík et al. (2008) Czech	Cases: 1096 (587 women, 509 men);	Lung	Women	587	-	Age, residence, education (non-	Strengths: large number of samples
1998–2006 Case-control	microscopically confirmed primary lung cancer cases		Non-smokers	NR	-	smokers), age, residence, education,	Stratified analysis by histology and smoking
Controls: 2966 (2178 women, 788		Less	NR	1	pack-years of smoking (smokers)	status Limitations: hospital-	
	men); spouses, relatives, or friends of other patients of the hospital, with conditions		Daily or several times per week	NR	0.86 (0.48–1.2)		based case-control self-report
	unrelated to smoking		Smokers	NR	-		

Reference, location enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled Comments
	Exposure assessment method:		Less	NR	1	
	other; in-person interview		Daily or several times per week	NR	0.76 (0.48–1.2)	
		Lung	Men	509	-	Age, residence, education (non-
			Non-smokers	NR	-	smokers), age, residence, education,
			Less	NR	1	pack-years of smoking (smokers)
			Daily or several times per week	NR	0.91 (0.43–1.92)	(SHORETS)
			Smokers	NR		
			Less	NR	1	
			Daily or several times per week	NR	1.07 (0.61–1.86)	
		Lung: by Histology	Daily or several times per w	veek versus Less		Age, residence,
			Women	NR	-	education, pack-years of smoking (smokers)
			Adenocarcinoma	NR	0.93 (0.62–1.38)	
			Squamous cell	NR	0.8 (0.49–1.3)	
			Small cell	NR	0.9 (0.52–1.55)	
			Men	NR	-	
			Adenocarcinoma	NR	1.58 (0.74–3.36)	

Table 2.14 Case-control studies (hospital-based) on cancer of the lung and coffee drinking (web only)

Reference, location enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
			Squamous cell	NR	0.98 (0.57–1.66)		
			Small cell	NR	0.93 (0.45–1.92)		
Ganesh et al. (2011) Mumbai, India 1997–1999 Case-control	Cases: 408; male microscopically confirmed primary lung cancer cases, average 56.2 years old. Controls: 1383; male patients free from cancer and not having any respiratory tract ailments and no evidence of disease, average 46.5 years old. Exposure assessment method: questionnaire; collected by social investigators	Lung	No Yes	262	1 1.9 (1.3–2.7)	Age, literacy status, cigarette smoking, bidi smoking, tobacco chewer, alcohol drinker, consumption of milk, chicken, red meat, fish, chilli, and exposure to pesticide	Rough analysis Strengths: no Limitations: hospital- based

CI, confidence interval; NR, not reported

References

- Baker JA, McCann SE, Reid ME, Nowell S, Beehler GP, Moysich KB (2005). Associations between black tea and coffee consumption and risk of lung cancer among current and former smokers. Nutr Cancer. 52(1):15–21.http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15327914nc5201_2 PMID:16090999
- Chen CJ, Wu HY, Chuang YC, Chang AS, Luh KT, Chao HH, et al. (1990). Epidemiologic characteristics and multiple risk factors of lung cancer in Taiwan. Anticancer Res. 10(4):971–6. PMID:2382996
- Ganesh B, Sushama S, Monika S, Suvarna P (2011). A case-control study of risk factors for lung cancer in Mumbai, India. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 12(2):357–62. PMID:21545194
- Kubík A, Zatloukal P, Tomasek L, Dolezal J, Syllabova L, Kara J, et al. (2008). A case-control study of lifestyle and lung cancer associations by histological types. Neoplasma. 55(3):192–9. PMID:18348651
- Kubík A, Zatloukal P, Tomásek L, Kríz J, Petruzelka L, Plesko I (2001). Diet and the risk of lung cancer among women. A hospital-based case-control study. Neoplasma. 48(4):262–6. PMID:11712676
- Kubík A, Zatloukal P, Tomásek L, Pauk N, Petruzelka L, Plesko I (2004a). Lung cancer risk among nonsmoking women in relation to diet and physical activity. Neoplasma. 51(2):136–43. PMID:15190423
- Kubík AK, Zatloukal P, Tomásek L, Pauk N, Havel L, Krepela E, et al. (2004b). Dietary habits and lung cancer risk among non-smoking women. Eur J Cancer Prev. 13(6):471–80.http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00008469-200412000-00002 PMID:15548939
- Mendilaharsu M, De Stefani E, Deneo-Pellegrini H, Carzoglio JC, Ronco A (1998). Consumption of tea and coffee and the risk of lung cancer in cigarette-smoking men: a casecontrol study in Uruguay. Lung Cancer. 19(2):101–7.http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5002(97)00075-5 PMID:9567246
- Mettlin C (1989). Milk drinking, other beverage habits, and lung cancer risk. Int J Cancer. 43(4):608–12.http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijc.2910430412 PMID:2703270
- Restrepo HE, Correa P, Haenszel W, Brinton LA, Franco A (1989). A case-control study of tobacco-related cancers in Colombia. Bull Pan Am Health Organ. 23(4):405–13. PMID:2611462
- Takezaki T, Hirose K, Inoue M, Hamajima N, Yatabe Y, Mitsudomi T, et al. (2001). Dietary factors and lung cancer risk in Japanese: with special reference to fish consumption and adenocarcinomas. Br J Cancer. 84(9):1199–206.http://dx.doi.org/10.1054/bjoc.2001.1722 PMID:11336471