

OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE AS A FIREFIGHTER

VOLUME 132

This publication represents the views and expert opinions of an IARC Working Group on the Identification of Carcinogenic Hazards to Humans, which met in Lyon, France, 7–14 June 2022

LYON, FRANCE - 2023

IARC MONOGRAPHS
ON THE IDENTIFICATION
OF CARCINOGENIC HAZARDS
TO HUMANS

Table S2.6 Cohort and case-control studies only reporting having ever worked as a firefighter and cancers of lymphatic and haematopoietic tissues

Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Amadeo et al. (2015) France Enrolment, 1 January 1979/ follow-up, 1979–2008 Cohort	10 829 male professional [career] firefighters employed in France on 1 January 1979, identified from 89 French administrative departments (93% of population) Exposure assessment method: ever employed as firefighter from employment records	Lymphatic and haematopoietic, mortality	SMR (French Firefighters	population 42	referent): 0.89 (0.64–1.20)	Age, calendar year	Exposure assessment critique: Minimal quality. Exposure assessment at only one point in time. Employed as any type of paid [career] firefighter. May include municipal and rural firefighters. Strengths: cohort coverage at the national level; relatively large cohort with long follow-up; robust linkages. Limitations: probable healthy- worker selection bias; includes only the 16% who were career civilian firefighters (79% were volunteers and 5% were military); lack of information on exposure and potential confounders.
Ma et al. (2006) Florida, USA Enrolment, 1972–1999/follow- up, 1981–1999 Cohort	36 813; all male (34 796) and female (2017) professional [career] firefighters certified in Florida from 1972 to 1999; the certification date was considered to be the date of first exposure Exposure assessment method: ever career firefighter from professional certification records	Lymphatic and haematopoietic, incidence NHL, incidence	SIR (Florida p Male firefighters Female firefighters SIR (Florida p Male firefighters Female firefighters	78 6	0.68 (0.54–0.85) 2.62 (0.96–5.70)	Age, calendar year	Exposure assessment critique: Minimal quality. Only one point in time measure of exposure, no indication when exposure stopped. May include municipal and rural firefighters. Strengths: assesses cancer incidence; includes female firefighters; large male cohort. Limitations: probable healthy- worker selection bias; small female cohort; young age at end of follow-up; lacks information on exposure and potential confounders.

Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Ma et al. (2006)		Hodgkin	SIR (Florida p	opulation r	eferent):	Age,	
cont.)	lymphoma, incidence	Male firefighters	11	0.77 (0.38–1.38)	calendar year		
			Female firefighters	3	6.25 (1.26–18.3)		
		Leukaemia,	SIR (Florida p	opulation r	eferent):		
		incidence	Male firefighters	20	0.77 (0.47–1.19)		
			Female firefighters	0	0 (NR)		
	36 813; all male (34 796)	Lymphatic and haematopoietic, mortality		R (Florida population referent):			Exposure assessment critique:
Florida, USA Enrolment,	and female (2017) professional [career]		Male firefighters	42	0.77 (0.56–1.05)	calendar period	Minimal quality. Only one point in time measure of exposure,
1972–1999/follow- up, 1972–1999	firefighters certified in Florida from 1972 to 1999		Female firefighters	1	1.25 (0.02–6.95)		no indication when exposure stopped. May include municipa
Cohort	Exposure assessment method: ever career	Lymphosarcoma-	SMR (Florida population referent):				and rural firefighters. Strengths: includes female
	firefighter from professional certification	reticulosarcoma, mortality	Male firefighters	3	0.65 (0.13–1.90)		firefighters; large male cohort; multiple linkages to assess vital
	records		Female firefighters	0	0 (NR)		status; conducted a sensitivity analysis among firefighters
		Hodgkin	SMR (Florida	population	referent):		with longest tenure (certified
	lymphoma, mortality	Male firefighters	1	0.23 (0-1.30)		1972–1976). <i>Limitations</i> : probable healthy-	
			Female firefighters	0	0 (NR)		worker selection bias; small female cohort; young age at end
		Leukaemia,	SMR (Florida	population	referent):		of follow-up; lacks information
		mortality	Male firefighters	14	0.84 (0.46–1.42)		on exposure and potential confounders.
			Female firefighters	0	0 (NR)		

Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Grimes et al.	205 deaths; all male	Lymphatic and	PMR (state po	pulation re	ferent):	NR	Exposure assessment critique:
(1991) Honolulu, Hawaii,	firefighters with ≥ 1 yr of service in the City	haematopoietic (ICD-9, 200–209),	All	[4]	0.95 (0.36-2.50)		Minimal quality. Crude, relying on knowledge of
USA 1969–1988 Cohort	of Honolulu Fire Department Exposure assessment	mortality	firefighters Caucasian [White] firefighters	[1]	0.66 (0.09-4.63)		usual occupation by death certifier. Possible differential misclassification from missing
	method: death certificate coding of usual occupation		Hawaiian firefighters	[2]	0.97 (0.24–3.84)		misclassification from missing occupation on death certificates. May include municipal and rural firefighters. Strengths: Long follow-up; examined risk by ethnic group (White/Hawaiian). Limitations: Probable healthyworker selection bias; unclear if underlying assumption that PMR will estimate an SMR is valid in this cohort; PMRs were not standardized by age or calendar period; no information on exposure and potential confounders. Other comments: number of deaths calculated by the Working Group.
Musk et al. (1978) Boston, Massachusetts, USA 1915–1975 Cohort	5655; male professional [career] firefighters employed by the Boston Fire Department for ≥ 3 yr since 1915 Exposure assessment method: employed as municipal firefighter for ≥ 3 yr from employment records	Lymphatic and haematopoietic (ICD-7, 200–205), mortality	SMR (Massac Firefighters	husetts pop 22	ulation referent): [0.63 (0.41–0.94)]	Age, calendar period	Exposure assessment critique: Satisfactory quality. Ever employed as municipal firefighter. Strengths: long follow-up. Limitations: probable healthy- worker selection bias; lack of information on cause for a proportion of deaths; lack of information on exposure and potential confounders.

Table S2.6 (co	ntinued)						
Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Bates et al. (2001) New Zealand Enrolment, 1977 through June 1995/follow- up, 1977–1995 (mortality), 1977– 1996 (incidence) Cohort	4305; all male (4221) and female (84) firefighters (paid [career] and volunteer) employed as a career firefighter for ≥ 1 yr and who also worked as a career firefighter for ≥ 1 day between 1977 and 1995; all analyses limited to men due to small numbers of women Exposure assessment method: ever employed and categorical duration of employment (years) from employment records	Lymphatic and haematopoietic (ICD-9, 200–208), mortality Leukaemia (myeloid; ICD-9, 205), incidence	SMR: Employment as firefighter SIR: Employment as firefighter		0.72 (0.2–1.8) 1.81 (0.5–4.6)	Age, calendar period	Exposure assessment critique: Satisfactory quality. Heterogeneity of direct firefighter exposure within job title. May include municipal and rural firefighters. Strengths: assesses cancer incidence as well as mortality; relatively large cohort (men). Limitations: lack of information on potential confounders.
Giles et al. (1993) Melbourne, Australia Enrolment, 1917–1989/follow- up, 1980–1989 Cohort	2865 operational active male firefighters employed between 1917 and 1989 by the Metropolitan Fire Brigade in Melbourne, Australia Exposure assessment method: ever employed from employment records	NHL (ICD, 200, 202), incidence Leukaemia (ICD, 204–208), incidence	SIR (Victoria Firefighters SIR (Victoria Firefighters	4	1.85 (0.50-4.74)	Age, calendar period	Exposure assessment critique: Minimal quality. Only ever municipal firefighter exposure. Strengths: assesses cancer incidence. Limitations: probable healthy- worker selection bias; small cohort size; no description of registry linkage methods; lack of information on exposure and potential confounders.

Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Eliopulos et al. (1984) Western Australia Follow-up, 1939–1978 Cohort	990; all men employed as permanent full-time firefighters by the Western Australian Fire Brigade between October 1939 and December 1978 Exposure assessment method: ever employed as a permanent full-time firefighter, and categorical employment duration (years) as firefighters from employment records	Lymphatic and haematopoietic, mortality	PMR (Western Employment as firefighter		referent): 1.88 (0.39–5.50)	Age, calendar period	Exposure assessment critique: Satisfactory quality. Unsure if permanent full-time status was maintained throughout study period. Municipal firefighters. Strengths: long follow-up time; low loss to follow-up. Limitations: probable healthy worker selection bias; small study size; no personal information on exposure or potential confounders.
Zhao et al. (2020) Spain Enrolment, 2001/follow-up, 2001–2011 Cohort	9 579 759 (27 365 firefighters); men identified as residing in Spain on 1 November 2001, employed on the census date, and aged 20–64 yr; followed for mortality using a national death registry Exposure assessment method: records; employed as firefighter in week before census	Lymphoma (type not specified; (ICD-10, C81–C83), mortality Hodgkin lymphoma (ICD-10, C81), mortality Leukaemia (ICD-10, C91–C95), mortality	Occupation (MAII other occupations Firefighters Occupations Firefighters Occupations Firefighters Occupation (MAII other occupation (MAII other occupations Firefighters Occupations Firefighters	3246 11 4RR): 365	1 1.29 (0.69-2.34) 1 1.41 (0.34-5.85) 1 0.90 (0.40-2.01)	Age	Exposure assessment critique: Minimal quality. Firefighting self-reported at one point in time. Years of firefighting. May include municipal and rural firefighters. Strengths: large study size; low loss to follow-up; cohort coverage at the national level. Limitations: occupation determined by self-report at baseline; short follow-up and young cohort age; lack of information on exposure and potential confounders.

Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Pukkala et al. (2014) Denmark, Finland, Iceland,	16 422; male professional [career] firefighters in the NOCCA cohort (a registry-based cohort	NHL (ICD-10, C82-C85, C96), incidence	SIR (national Firefighters	referent): 82	1.04 (0.83–1.29)	Country, age, calendar period	Exposure assessment critique: Satisfactory quality. Self- reported firefighter as current job. Includes municipal and rura
Norway, Sweden	study of Nordic country	NHL (ICD-10,	Country (SIR)):		Age,	firefighters.
1961–2005	residents who participated	C82-C85, C96),	Denmark	6	1.23 (0.45-2.67)	calendar	Strengths: large study size; long
Cohort	in any computerized	incidence	Finland	20	0.99 (0.60-1.52)	period	follow-up time; assesses cancer
	population census (1960, 1970, 1980/81, or 1990)		Iceland	1	1.18 (0.03-6.56)		incidence using high-quality outcome data; contrasts by
	and were followed up		Norway	14	1.07 (0.58-1.79)		country, observation period, and age; multiple sensitivity analyses
	through linkage to national cancer registries), aged 30-64 yr, alive, and		Sweden	41	1.04 (0.74-1.41)		
		NHL (ICD-10,	Age at follow-	up (SIR):		Country,	Limitations: probable healthy-
		C82–C85, C96), incidence	30-49 yr	11	0.82 (0.41-1.46)	age,	worker selection bias; lack of
	in the country in the year following census		50-69 yr	38	0.95 (0.67-1.31)	calendar	information on exposure and potential confounders.
	participation		≥ 70 yr	33	1.30 (0.89-1.83)	period	potential comounters.
	Exposure assessment	NHL (ICD-10,	Follow-up per	riod (SIR):			
	method: records;	C82–C85, C96),	1961–1975	1	0.23 (0.01-1.29)		
	employed as firefighter at	incidence	1976-1990	26	1.12 (0.73-1.64)		
	time of census		1991-2005	55	1.08 (0.81-1.40)		
		Multiple myeloma	SIR (national	referent):			
		(ICD-10, C90), incidence	Firefighters	41	1.13 (0.81–1.53)		
		Multiple myeloma	Country (SIR)):		Age,	
		(ICD-10, C90),	Denmark	3	1.08 (0.22-3.16)	calendar	
		incidence	Finland	6	0.96 (0.35-2.1)	period	
			Iceland	0	0 (0.00-9.8)		
			Norway	9	0.96 (0.44-1.82)		
			Sweden	23	1.32 (0.83-1.97)		
		Multiple myeloma	Age at follow-	up (SIR):		Country,	
		(ICD-10, C90),	30-49 yr	0	0 (0.00-1.16)	age,	
		incidence	50-69 yr	17	0.90 (0.53-1.45)	calendar	
			≥ 70 yr	24	1.69 (1.08-2.51)	period	

Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Pukkala et al. (2014) (cont.)		Multiple myeloma (ICD-10, C90), incidence Leukaemia (ICD-10, C91-C95), incidence Leukaemia (AML), incidence	Follow-up peri 1961–1975 1976–1990 1991–2005 Firefighters	4 11 26 56	1.17 (0.32–2.99) 0.87 (0.44–1.57) 1.28 (0.84–1.88) 0.94 (0.71–1.22)	Country, age, calendar period	
Sritharan et al. (2022) Ontario, Canada Enrolment, 1983–2019/follow- up, 1983–2020 Cohort	2 368 226 (firefighters 13 642; police 22 595); workers aged ≥ 15 yr who submitted lost-time workers' compensation injury and disease claims to the Workplace Safety and Insurance Board with known sex, birth date, claim date, and occupation and industry information; incident cases identified using the Ontario Cancer registry Exposure assessment method: records; employed as firefighter	Hodgkin lymphoma (ICD-10, C81), incidence NHL (ICD-10, C82), incidence Multiple myeloma (ICD-10, C90), incidence	Firefighters Referent (HR): Firefighters vs all other workers Firefighters vs police Referent (HR): Firefighters vs all other workers Firefighters vs police Referent (HR): Firefighters vs police Referent (HR): Firefighters vs all other workers	10 10 104 104	1.27 (0.79–1.94) 1.27 (0.68–2.37) 1.33 (0.57–3.12) 1.35 (1.11–1.64) 1.21 (0.92–1.58) 1.18 (0.82–1.70)	Age at start of follow-up, birth year, sex	Exposure assessment critique: Minimal quality. Duration of firefighter work unclear. May include full-time, part-time, municipal, and rural firefighters. Strengths: large study size; long follow-up time; includes female firefighters; working population used as referent; assesses cancer incidence. Limitations: potential selection bias into claims database, as compensation claims used to identify the cohort may differ by occupation; lack of information on exposure and potential confounders.

Table S2.6 (co	ntinued)						
Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Sritharan et al. (2022) (cont.)		Leukaemia (ICD-10, C91), incidence	Referent (HR) Firefighters vs all other workers Firefighters vs police	64	1.35 (1.05–1.73) 1.15 (0.81–1.62)	Age at start of follow-up, birth year, sex	
Harris et al. (2018) Canada Enrolment, 1991/follow-up, 1992–2010 Cohort	CanCHEC: 1 108 410 (4535 firefighters); men participating in the longform Canadian census in 1991, employed with a valid occupation and aged 25–74 yr at cohort entry;	Hodgkin lymphoma, incidence NHL, incidence	Occupation (F Non- firefighters Firefighters Occupation (F Non- firefighters	NR 5	1 2.89 (1.29–6.46) 1	Age, region, education	Exposure assessment critique: Minimal quality. Self-reported firefighter as current or longest job. Includes municipal and rural firefighters. Strengths: study size; long follow- up time; national coverage of
	incident cancers identified using a national cancer registry Exposure assessment method: ever employed as firefighter data from census records	Multiple myeloma, incidence Leukaemia, incidence	Firefighters Occupation (F Non- firefighters Occupation (F Non- firefighters Firefighters Firefighters Firefighters	NR 10	1.00 (0.71–1.41) 1 1.52 (0.82–2.84) 1 0.93 (0.55–1.58)		working population; assesses cancer incidence. Limitations: occupation determined at 1991 census based on self-report. Lack of information on exposure and potential confounders.

Table S2.6 (continued)

eference, Population size, description, exposure assessment method bllow-up period, tudy design	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
	Hodgkin lymphoma, incidence d Hodgkin lymphoma, incidence Hodgkin lymphoma, incidence	non-firefighte Early-stage Late-stage Age at diagnor non-firefighte < 50 yr ≥ 50 yr Group (OR for firefighters): Men Women Tumour stage non-firefighte Early-stage Late-stage Age at diagnor non-firefighte < 50 yr ≥ 50 yr Group (OR for firefighters): Men Women	32 < 10 e, men (OR fers): < 10 23 osis, men (O ers): < 10 < 10 or firefighter 168 < 10 e, men (OR fers): 53 90 osis, men (O ers): 63 105 or firefighter 40 < 10	0.85 (0.60–1.21) 1.68 (0.62–4.56) for firefighters vs 0.47 (0.18–1.27) 1.13 (0.74–1.72) R for firefighters vs 0.95 (0.64–1.40) 0.68 (0.30–1.51) s vs non- 0.88 (0.75–1.03) 0.98 (0.43–2.21) for firefighters vs 1.02 (0.77–1.34) 1.00 (0.81–1.24) R for firefighters vs 0.79 (0.61–1.02) 0.95 (0.78–1.15)	Age, year of diagnosis	Exposure assessment critique: Satisfactory quality. Ever firefighter exposure only. May include municipal and rural firefighters. Strengths: large study size (male firefighters); reliable information on firefighting status; includes female firefighters; assesses cancer incidence including tumour staging. Limitations: few female firefighters; cancer cases selected as controls (numerator-based analysis); limited information on exposure and potential confounders.
	myeloma, incidence	non-firefighte < 50 yr ≥ 50 yr	ers): 13 27	1.19 (0.69–2.06) 0.68 (0.47–1.00)		

Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Lee et al. (2020) (cont.)		Leukaemia (myeloid),	Group (OR fo firefighters):	r firefighter	s vs non-	Age, year of	
()		incidence	Men	34	0.61 (0.44-0.86)	diagnosis	
			Women	< 10	0.51 (0.07–3.57)	Ü	
		Leukaemia (myeloid), incidence	non-firefighte	rs): 13	R for firefighters vs 0.58 (0.34–1.01)		
			≥ 50 yr	21	0.65 (0.42–1.00)		
	Leukaemia (AML), incidence	Leukaemia (AML), incidence	Group (OR fo firefighters):	r firefighter			
			Men	21	0.63 (0.41-0.96)		
			Women	< 10	0.80 (0.11-5.68)		
		Acute monocytic leukaemia,	Group (OR fo firefighters):	r firefighter			
		incidence	Men	NR	0.48 (0.07-3.41)		
			Women	0	0 (NR)		
		Leukaemia (ALL), incidence	Group (OR fo firefighters):	r firefighter	s vs non-		
			Men	< 10	0.69 (0.33-1.45)		
			Women	0	0 (NR)		
NHL (CLL), incidence		Group (OR fo firefighters):	r firefighter	s vs non-			
			Men	38	0.89 (0.65-1.23)		
			Women	< 10	2.33 (0.58-9.41)		
		Leukaemia (CML), incidence	Group (OR fo firefighters):	r firefighter			
			Men	NR	0.55 (0.30-1.02)		
			Women	0	0 (NR)		

Table S2.6 (continued)

Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
McClure et al. (2021) Florida, USA 1981–2014 Case–control	Cases: firefighters, 3760; non-firefighters, NR; male cancer patients identified via linkage of FCDS and FMO records on firefighter certification and employment Controls: varies by cancer site; control patients are all other cancer types except the cancer of interest Exposure assessment method: employment as firefighter from cancer registry records and employment and professional certification records	Leukaemia, incidence Leukaemia (myeloid including monocytic leukaemias), incidence	Occupation (C Non- firefighters Firefighters, FMO employment certification records Firefighters, FCDS occupational data Occupation (C Non- firefighters Firefighters, FMO employment certification records Firefighters, FCDS occupational data	NR 87 61	1 0.66 (0.53-0.81) 0.92 (0.71-1.19) 1 0.61 (0.44-0.86) 0.86 (0.57-1.30)	Age, year of diagnosis	Exposure assessment critique: Minimal quality. Ever firefighter exposure only. Incorporation of employment and certification records improvement for method 2. May include municipal and rural firefighters. Strengths: large study size; assesses cancer incidence. Limitations: probable healthyworker selection bias; cancer cases selected as controls (numerator-based analysis); minimal information on exposure and potential confounders; completeness of occupation data (from registry records) varied by sociodemographic and diagnostic characteristics.

Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
McClure et al.		Lymphoma (type	Occupation (C	DR):		Age,	
(2021) (cont.)		not specified), incidence	Non- firefighters	NR	1	year of diagnosis	
(cont.)		incidence	Firefighters, FMO employment certification	200	0.86 (0.75-0.99)	angrious	
			records Firefighters, FCDS occupational data	109	1.10 (0.90–1.34)		
<u>Tsai et al. (2015)</u>	Cases: 678 132 (all	Hodgkin	Race (OR, fire	fighters vs r	non-firefighters):	Age,	Exposure assessment critique:
California, USA	cancers); all first	lymphoma,	White	25	1.07 (0.63-1.80)	year of	Minimal quality. Ever firefighter
1988–2007 Case–control	malignant primary cancers in the registry	incidence	Other	4	2.50 (0.76-8.28)	diagnosis, race	exposure only. May include municipal and rural firefighters
Case-control	restricted to adult male		Overall	29	1.15 (0.72–1.83)		Strengths: large study size; assesses incident cancer, with
	participants (aged 18-	NHL, incidence		fighters vs r	non-firefighters):		
	97 yr) with industry and		White	159	1.16 (0.94–1.45)		subtypes reported for leukaemi
	occupation information		Other	24	2.17 (1.20-3.92)		findings stratified by race/
	available; sites must have		Overall	183	1.22 (1.00–1.50):		ethnicity.
	≥ 10 firefighters among the cases to be analysed	Multiple	Race (OR, fire	fighters vs r	non-firefighters):		Limitations: no information on the population at risk
	Controls: 48 725; cancers	myeloma,	White	42	1.17 (0.84–1.64)		(numerator-based analysis);
	of the pharynx, stomach,	incidence	Other	13	3.77 (1.91–7.44)		occupation missing from nearl
	liver, and pancreas in		Overall	55	1.35 (1.00-1.82)		50% of registry cases and more
	the registry restricted to	Leukaemia,		fighters vs r	non-firefighters):		likely for people who were olde
	adult male participants	incidence	White	101	1.17 (0.91–1.49)		or of Hispanic ethnicity; lack o
	(aged 18–97 yr) with industry and occupation		Other	20	3.64 (1.96-6.74)		information on exposure and potential confounders.
	information available	nt NHL (CLL), nt incidence	Overall	122	1.32 (1.05–1.66)		potential comounders.
	Exposure assessment			-	non-firefighters):		
	method: employment		White	36	1.17 (0.82–1.67)		
	as firefighter, coded as		Other	7	7.04 (2.99–16.56)		
	longest job held from cancer registry records		Overall	43	1.34 (0.96–1.87)		

Table S2.6 (continued)

Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
<u>Tsai et al. (2015)</u>		Leukaemia (AML), incidence	Race (OR, fire	fighters vs r	on-firefighters):	Age, year of diagnosis,	
(cont.)			White	40	1.46 (1.03-2.08)		
			Other	2	1.12 (0.26-4.76)		
			Overall	42	1.44 (1.02-2.02)	race	
		Leukaemia	Race (OR, fire	fighters vs r	on-firefighters):		
		(CML), incidence	White	14	1.14 (0.66-1.99)		
			Other	6	4.91 (1.84-13.12)		
			Overall	21	1.51 (0.95-2.40)		
Kang et al. (2008)	Cases: NR overall (firefighters, 1881; non-firefighters, NR); White male residents of Massachusetts aged ≥ 18 yr with complete information on "usual occupation" and a diagnosis with one of 25 "cancers of concern" in the MCR Controls: NR overall (firefighters, 244; non-firefighters, NR); White male residents of Massachusetts aged ≥ 18 yr with complete information on "usual occupation" and a cancer diagnosis not on the list of 25 "cancers of concern" in the MCR Exposure assessment method: employment as firefighter coded from longest job held from cancer registry records	Leukaemia (ICD-O-3, 9800 – 9948), incidence Leukaemia (ICD-O-3, 9800 – 9948), incidence	Referent (SMI	BOR):		Age,	Exposure assessment critique:
Massachusetts, USA 1987–2003			Firefighters (police referent):	46	0.72 (0.43–1.20)	smoking status	Minimal quality. Ever firefighter exposure only. May include municipal and rural firefighters. Strengths: large size; long study period; assesses incident cancers; smoking information available. Limitations: cancer cases
Case-control			Firefighters (all other occupations referent):	46	0.98 (0.69–1.39)		
			Age at diagnosis (SMBOR, firefighters vs police):				used as controls (numerator- based analysis); incomplete information on occupation (38%
			18-54 yr	NR	1.83 (0.66-5.08)		missing); lack of information on exposure and potential confounders.
			55-74 yr	NR	0.43 (0.20-0.96)		
			≥ 75 yr	NR	0.49 (0.17-1.44)		
			Referent (SMI	BOR):			
			Firefighters (police referent)	13	0.77 (0.31–1.92)		
			Firefighters (all other occupations referent)	13	1.10 (0.58–2.09)		
			Age at diagnosis (SMBOR, firefighters vs police):				
			18-54 yr	NR	1.18 (0.26-5.36)		
			55-74 yr	NR	0.83 (0.23-2.96)		

- 4							
Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Kang et al. (2008)		Hodgkin lymphoma (ICD-O-3, 9650–9667), incidence Hodgkin lymphoma (ICD-O-3, 9650–9667), incidence Multiple myeloma (ICD-O-3, 9731, 9732), incidence	Referent (SM1	BOR):		Age,	
(cont.)			Firefighters (police as referent)	8	1.81 (0.72–4.53)	smoking status	
			Firefighters (all other occupations referent)	8	1.56 (0.71–3.43)		
			Age at diagno police):	sis (SMBOR	R, firefighters vs		
			18-54 yr	NR	2.86 (0.76-10.74)		
			55-74 yr	NR	1.27 (0.30-5.47)		
			≥ 75 yr	NR	0.91 (0.06-15.21)		
			Referent (SMI	3OR):			
			Firefighters (police referent):	29	0.76 (0.39–1.48)		
			Firefighters (all other occupations referent):	29	0.92 (0.58–1.47)		
			Age at diagno police):	sis (SMBOF	R, firefighters vs		
			18-54 yr	NR	0.68 (0.13-3.54)		
			55-74 yr	NR	0.75 (0.32–1.74)		
			≥ 75 yr	NR	0.76 (0.17-3.36)		

Table S2.6 (continued)

Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Sama et al. (1990) Massachusetts, USA 1982–1986 Case–control	Cases: NR; White men aged ≥ 18 yr with information on usual occupation and a diagnosis with one of nine cancers of concern in the MCR Controls: NR; White men aged ≥ 18 yr with information on usual occupation and a cancer diagnosis for all other cancers, except those of the organ systems of concern (digestive, respiratory, and lymphatic/ haematopoietic) Exposure assessment method: employment as firefighter or fire chief from cancer registry records	NHL (ICD-O histology, 959– 964, 967–970, 972, 975–976), incidence Leukaemia (ICD-O histology, 980–994, excluding 984), incidence	Referent (SMB Firefighters (police referent): Firefighters (state referent): Referent (SMB Firefighters (police referent) Firefighters (state referent)	14	3.27 (1.19–8.98) 1.59 (0.89–2.84) 2.67 (0.62–11.54) 1.12 (0.48–2.59)	Age	Exposure assessment critique: Minimal quality. Ever firefighter exposure only. Use of secondary data sources confirmed occupation for some firefighters. May include municipal and rural firefighters. Strengths: assesses incident cancers; smoking information available. Limitations: small study; cancer cases used as controls (numerator-based analysis); incomplete information on occupation; crude smoking status information; no smoking adjustment; lack of information on exposure and potential confounders.
Ma et al. (1998) USA 1984–1993 Case–control	Cases: NR; all male cancer deaths with coded industry and occupation on death certificates from 24 states captured in a NIOSH database Controls: NR; all male non-cancer deaths in the NIOSH database Exposure assessment method: questionnaire; death certificate coding of usual occupation	NHL, mortality Hodgkin lymphoma, mortality	Group (MOR). White firefighters Black firefighters Group (MOR). White firefighters Black firefighters	76 1	1.4 (1.1-1.7) 0.8 (NR) 2.4 (1.4-4.1) 0 (NR)	Year of death, age at death	Exposure assessment critique: Minimal quality. Crude, relying on knowledge of usual occupation by death certifier. Possible differential misclassification from missing occupation on death certificates. May include municipal and rural firefighters. Strengths: large study size (includes 6607 male firefighter deaths); broad geographical population coverage.

Table S2.6 (continued)							
Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Ma et al. (1998) (cont.)		Multiple myeloma, mortality Leukaemia, mortality	Group (MOR): White firefighters Black firefighters Group (MOR): White firefighters Black firefighters	28	1.1 (0.8–1.6) 0.8 (NR) 1.1 (0.8–1.4) 0 (NR)	Year of death, age at death	Limitations: small number of cancer deaths among Black firefighters; non-cancer deaths used as controls (numerator-based analysis); lack of information on exposure and potential confounders.
Burnett et al. (1994) USA 1984–1990 Mortality surveillance	5744 deaths; White male firefighters identified by evaluation of coded occupation on death certificates from 27 states Exposure assessment method: records; death certificate coding of usual occupation	Lymphatic and haematopoietic (ICD-9, 200–208), mortality NHL (ICD-9, 200, 202), mortality Multiple myeloma (ICD-9, 203), mortality	Group (PMR): Firefighters Firefighters, age < 65 yr at death Group (PMR) Firefighters Firefighters, age < 65 yr at death Group (PMR): Firefighters, age < 65 yr at death Group (PMR): Firefighters Firefighters Firefighters, age < 65 yr at death	169 85 66 35	1.30 (1.11–1.51) 1.61 (1.29–1.99) 1.32 (1.02–1.67) 1.61 (1.12–2.24) 1.48 (1.02–2.07) 1.36 (0.68–2.43)	Age	Exposure assessment critique: Minimal quality. Crude, relying on knowledge of usual occupation by death certifier. Possible differential misclassification from missing occupation on death certificates. May include municipal and rural firefighters. Strengths: large number of deaths; broad geographical population coverage. Limitations: numerator-only (PMR) analysis; errors in death- certificate occupation; lack of information on exposure or potential confounders.

Reference, location, enrolment/ follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Cancer type (histopathology), incidence or mortality	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases or deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Burnett et al. (1994) (cont.)		Leukaemia (ICD-9, 204–208), mortality	0	61 33	1.19 (0.91–1.53) 1.71 (1.18–2.40)	Age	

ALL, acute lymphoblastic/lymphocytic leukaemia; AML, acute myeloid leukaemia; BMI, body mass index; CanCHEC, Canadian Census Health and Environment Cohort; CI, confidence interval; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukaemia; CML, chronic myeloid leukaemia; FCDS, Florida Cancer Data System; FMO, Office of the Florida State Marshal; HR, hazard ratio; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; ICD-O, International Classification of Diseases for Oncology; MCR, Massachusetts Cancer Registry; MOR, mortality odds ratio; MRR, mortality rate ratio; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; NIOSH, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; NOCCA, Nordic Occupational Cancer study; NR, not reported; OR, odds ratio; PMR, proportionate mortality ratio; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; SMBOR, standardized morbidity odds ratio; SMR, standardized mortality ratio; SIR, standardized incidence ratio; vs, versus; yr, year.

References

- Ahn YS, Jeong KS (2015). Mortality due to malignant and non-malignant diseases in Korean professional emergency responders. *PLoS One*. 10(3):e0120305. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0120305 PMID:25756281
- Ahn YS, Jeong KS, Kim KS (2012). Cancer morbidity of professional emergency responders in Korea. *Am J Ind Med.* 55(9):768–78. doi:10.1002/ajim.22068 PMID:22628010
- Amadeo B, Marchand JL, Moisan F, Donnadieu S, Gaëlle C, Simone MP, et al. (2015). French firefighter mortality: analysis over a 30-year period. *Am J Ind Med*. 58(4):437–43. doi:10.1002/ajim.22434 PMID:25708859
- Aronson KJ, Tomlinson GA, Smith L (1994). Mortality among fire fighters in metropolitan Toronto. *Am J Ind Med.* 26(1):89–101. doi:10.1002/ajim.4700260108 PMID:8074127
- Bates MN, Fawcett J, Garrett N, Arnold R, Pearce N, Woodward A (2001). Is testicular cancer an occupational disease of fire fighters? *Am J Ind Med*. 40(3):263– 70. doi:10.1002/ajim.1097 PMID:11598972
- Bigert C, Martinsen JI, Gustavsson P, Sparén P (2020). Cancer incidence among Swedish firefighters: an extended follow-up of the NOCCA study. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 93(2):197–204. doi:10.1007/s00420-019-01472-x PMID:31463517
- Burnett CA, Halperin WE, Lalich NR, Sestito JP (1994). Mortality among fire fighters: a 27 state survey. *Am J Ind Med.* 26(6):831–3. doi:10.1002/ajim.4700260612 PMID:7892834
- Daniels RD, Bertke S, Dahm MM, Yiin JH, Kubale TL, Hales TR, et al. (2015). Exposure-response relationships for select cancer and non-cancer health outcomes in a cohort of US firefighters from San Francisco, Chicago and Philadelphia (1950–2009). *Occup Environ Med.* 72(10):699–706. doi:10.1136/oemed-2014-102671 PMID:25673342
- Daniels RD, Kubale TL, Yiin JH, Dahm MM, Hales TR, Baris D, et al. (2014). Mortality and cancer incidence in a pooled cohort of US firefighters from San Francisco, Chicago and Philadelphia (1950–2009). *Occup Environ Med.* 71(6):388–97. doi:10.1136/oemed-2013-101662 PMID:24142974
- Demers PA, Checkoway H, Vaughan TL, Weiss NS, Heyer NJ, Rosenstock L (1994). Cancer incidence among firefighters in Seattle and Tacoma, Washington (United States). *Cancer Causes Control*. 5(2):129–35. doi:10.1007/BF01830258 PMID:8167259
- Demers PA, Heyer NJ, Rosenstock L (1992a). Mortality among firefighters from three northwestern United States cities. *Br J Ind Med.* 49(9):664–70. doi:10.1136/oem.49.9.664 PMID:1390274

- Deschamps S, Momas I, Festy B (1995). Mortality amongst Paris fire-fighters. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 11(6):643–6. doi:10.1007/BF01720297 PMID:8861847
- Eliopulos E, Armstrong BK, Spickett JT, Heyworth F (1984). Mortality of fire fighters in Western Australia. *Br J Ind Med.* 41(2):183–7. doi:10.1136/oem.41.2.183 PMID:6722044
- Feuer E, Rosenman K (1986). Mortality in police and firefighters in New Jersey. *Am J Ind Med.* 9(6):517–27. doi:10.1002/ajim.4700090603 PMID:3488681
- Giles G, Staples M, Berry J (1993). Cancer incidence in Melbourne Metropolitan Fire Brigade members, 1980–1989. *Health Rep.* 5(1):33–8. PMID:8334236
- Glass DC, Del Monaco A, Pircher S, Vander Hoorn S, Sim MR (2016b). Mortality and cancer incidence at a fire training college. *Occup Med (Lond)*. 66(7):536–42. doi:10.1093/occmed/kqw079 PMID:27371948
- Glass DC, Del Monaco A, Pircher S, Vander Hoorn S, Sim MR (2017). Mortality and cancer incidence among male volunteer Australian firefighters. *Occup Environ Med.* 74(9):628–38. doi:10.1136/oemed-2016-104088 PMID:28391245
- Glass DC, Del Monaco A, Pircher S, Vander Hoorn S, Sim MR (2019). Mortality and cancer incidence among female Australian firefighters. *Occup Environ Med*. 76(4):215–21. PMID:30674605
- Glass DC, Pircher S, Del Monaco A, Hoorn SV, Sim MR (2016a). Mortality and cancer incidence in a cohort of male paid Australian firefighters. *Occup Environ Med.* 73(11):761–71. doi:10.1136/oemed-2015-103467 PMID:27456156
- Grimes G, Hirsch D, Borgeson D (1991). Risk of death among Honolulu fire fighters. *Hawaii Med J*. 50(3):82–5. PMID:2061032
- Guidotti TL (1993). Mortality of urban firefighters in Alberta, 1927–1987. *Am J Ind Med.* 23(6):921–40. doi:10.1002/ajim.4700230608 PMID:8328477
- Harris MA, Kirkham TL, MacLeod JS, Tjepkema M, Peters PA, Demers PA (2018). Surveillance of cancer risks for firefighters, police, and armed forces among men in a Canadian census cohort. *Am J Ind Med*. 61(10):815–23. doi:10.1002/ajim.22891 PMID:30073696
- Kang D, Davis LK, Hunt P, Kriebel D (2008). Cancer incidence among male Massachusetts firefighters, 1987–2003. *Am J Ind Med.* 51(5):329–35. doi:10.1002/ajim.20549 PMID:18306327
- Kullberg C, Andersson T, Gustavsson P, Selander J, Tornling G, Gustavsson A, et al. (2018). Cancer incidence in Stockholm firefighters 1958–2012: an updated cohort study. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 91(3):285–91. doi:10.1007/s00420-017-1276-1 PMID:29164319
- Langevin SM, Eliot M, Butler RA, McClean M, Kelsey KT (2020). Firefighter occupation is associated with increased risk for laryngeal and hypopharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma among men from the

- Greater Boston area. *Occup Environ Med*. 77(6):381–5. doi:10.1136/oemed-2019-106271 PMID:32107319
- Lee DJ, Koru-Sengul T, Hernandez MN, Caban-Martinez AJ, McClure LA, Mackinnon JA, et al. (2020). Cancer risk among career male and female Florida firefighters: evidence from the Florida Firefighter Cancer Registry (1981–2014). *Am J Ind Med*. 63(4):285–99. doi:10.1002/ajim.23086 PMID:31930542
- Ma F, Fleming LE, Lee DJ, Trapido E, Gerace TA (2006). Cancer incidence in Florida professional firefighters, 1981 to 1999. *J Occup Environ Med.* 48(9):883–8. doi:10.1097/01.jom.0000235862.12518.04 PMID:16966954
- Ma F, Fleming LE, Lee DJ, Trapido E, Gerace TA, Lai H, et al. (2005). Mortality in Florida professional firefighters, 1972 to 1999. *Am J Ind Med.* 47(6):509–17. doi:10.1002/ajim.20160 PMID:15898094
- Ma F, Lee DJ, Fleming LE, Dosemeci M (1998). Racespecific cancer mortality in US firefighters: 1984–1993. *J Occup Environ Med*. 40(12):1134–8. PMID:9871891
- Marjerrison N, Jakobsen J, Demers PA, Grimsrud TK, Hansen J, Martinsen JI, et al. (2022b). A comparison of cancer incidence and mortality in the Norwegian Fire Departments Cohort, 1960–2018. *Occup Environ Med.* oemed-2022–108331. doi:10.1136/oemed-2022-108331 PMID:35589382
- Marjerrison N, Jakobsen J, Grimsrud TK, Hansen J, Martinsen JI, Nordby KC, et al. (2022a). Cancer incidence in sites potentially related to occupational exposures: 58 years of follow-up of firefighters in the Norwegian Fire Departments Cohort. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 48(3):210–9. doi:10.5271/sjweh.4009 PMID:35015085
- Mastromatteo E (1959). Mortality in city firemen. II. A study of mortality in firemen of a city fire department. *AMA Arch Ind Health*. 20:227–33. PMID:14422193
- McClure LA, Koru-Sengul T, Hernandez MN, Caban-Martinez AJ, Kobetz EN, Lee DJ (2021). Comparing cancer risk estimates using occupational record linkage approaches in male Florida firefighters. *Am J Ind Med*. 64(2):78–83. doi:10.1002/ajim.23205 PMID:33283309
- Muegge CM, Zollinger TW, Song Y, Wessel J, Monahan PO, Moffatt SM (2018). Excess mortality among Indiana firefighters, 1985–2013. *Am J Ind Med.* 61(12):961–7. doi:10.1002/ajim.22918 PMID:30421827
- Musk AW, Monson RR, Peters JM, Peters RK (1978). Mortality among Boston firefighters, 1915–1975. *Br J Ind Med.* 35(2):104–8. doi:10.1136/oem.35.2.104 PMID:656333
- Petersen KU, Pedersen JE, Bonde JP, Ebbehoej NE, Hansen J (2018a). Long-term follow-up for cancer incidence in a cohort of Danish firefighters. *Occup Environ Med.* 75(4):263–9. doi:10.1136/oemed-2017-104660 PMID:29055884

- Petersen KU, Pedersen JE, Bonde JP, Ebbehøj NE, Hansen J (2018b). Mortality in a cohort of Danish firefighters; 1970–2014. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 91(6):759–66. doi:10.1007/s00420-018-1323-6 PMID:29808435
- Pinkerton L, Bertke SJ, Yiin J, Dahm M, Kubale T, Hales T, et al. (2020). Mortality in a cohort of US firefighters from San Francisco, Chicago and Philadelphia: an update. *Occup Environ Med.* 77(2):84–93. doi:10.1136/oemed-2019-105962 PMID:31896615
- Pukkala E, Martinsen JI, Weiderpass E, Kjaerheim K, Lynge E, Tryggvadottir L, et al. (2014). Cancer incidence among firefighters: 45 years of follow-up in five Nordic countries. Occup Environ Med. 71(6):398–404. doi:10.1136/oemed-2013-101803 PMID:24510539
- Sama SR, Martin TR, Davis LK, Kriebel D (1990). Cancer incidence among Massachusetts firefighters, 1982–1986. *Am J Ind Med.* 18(1):47–54. doi:10.1002/ajim.4700180106 PMID:2378369
- Sritharan J, Kirkham TL, MacLeod J, Marjerrison N, Lau A, Dakouo M, et al. (2022). Cancer risk among fire-fighters and police in the Ontario workforce. *Occup Environ Med.* 79(8):533–539. doi:10.1136/oemed-2021-108146 PMID:35354650
- Stang A, Jöckel KH, Baumgardt-Elms C, Ahrens W (2003). Firefighting and risk of testicular cancer: results from a German population-based case-control study. *Am J Ind Med.* 43(3):291–4. doi:10.1002/ajim.10178 PMID:12594776
- Tornling G, Gustavsson P, Hogstedt C (1994). Mortality and cancer incidence in Stockholm fire fighters. *Am J Ind Med.* 25(2):219–28. doi:10.1002/ajim.4700250208 PMID:8147394
- Tsai RJ, Luckhaupt SE, Schumacher P, Cress RD, Deapen DM, Calvert GM (2015). Risk of cancer among fire-fighters in California, 1988–2007. *Am J Ind Med.* 58(7):715–29. doi:10.1002/ajim.22466 PMID:25943908
- Vena JE, Fiedler RC (1987). Mortality of a municipal-worker cohort: IV. Fire fighters. *Am J Ind Med.* 11(6):671–84. doi:10.1002/ajim.4700110608 PMID:3605104
- Webber MP, Singh A, Zeig-Owens R, Salako J, Skerker M, Hall CB, et al. (2021). Cancer incidence in World Trade Center-exposed and non-exposed male firefighters, as compared with the US adult male population: 2001–2016. *Occup Environ Med.* 78(10):707–14. doi:10.1136/oemed-2021-107570 PMID:34507965
- Zeig-Owens R, Webber MP, Hall CB, Schwartz T, Jaber N, Weakley J, et al. (2011). Early assessment of cancer outcomes in New York City firefighters after the 9/11 attacks: an observational cohort study. *Lancet.* 378(9794):898–905. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736 (11)60989-6 PMID:21890054
- Zhao G, Erazo B, Ronda E, Brocal F, Regidor E (2020). Mortality among firefighters in Spain: 10 years of follow-up. *Ann Work Expo Health*. 64(6):614–21. doi:10.1093/annweh/wxaa036 PMID:32253442